



Parliament Session Report

Session 5, Quarter 2 of 2017
24 June 2017



Bills tabled:

no.	Motion	Proposer	Aye/Noes	Outcome
1	FifthHaven Aviation Discussion Bill	_Bromley	N/A	Debate/Discussion
2	Southern Westford island Habitation Bill	Aldranster50	3A/9N	Rejected
3	Examiner Promotion System Revamp Bill	Autobus22, MP	8A/7N	Passed
4	Citizen Test Screenshot Promotion Rule Adjustment Bill	Autobus22, MP	8A/10N	Rejected
5	Sherlock Homes Bill	Autobus22, MP	13A/7N	Passed

Speaker of Parliament:

1. SilverWolv

Original report written by
Hinwapoon
minebuilder1223
24 June 2017



17Q2-6.d1

Motion Name:

FifthHaven Aviation Discussion Bill

Proposed By:

Proposal by _Bromley, not officially affiliated with any political parties.

Opening Statement:

I would like to address the concern of Fifth Haven's airport, so we can know how much space should be allocated to airports, ports, and other transport services in relation to the city. Rather than having another altercation in finding space for large projects like these, like we have previously had with Fourth Haven leaving the airport taking up a relatively large space, but not meeting the demand of the airlines while also costing precious land space in the process.

[Opening Speech]



FifthHaven Conceptual Airport Designs (Discussion)

A

B I L L

TO

Figure out what airport design will be used in order to plan for the next-coming iteration of WolvHaven.

Be it enacted by the president of the city-state of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

- 1. Figuring out what design would suit FifthHaven the most**
 - a. Consider factors such as size, creativity, efficiency, location, etc.
 - b. Identify what specifics are needed in order to fill the role as a spawn location
- 2. Identify what other infrastructure is needed for the airport**
 - a. Identify what transit besides air transit needed in order to visit the airport
 - b. Identify what the city will be like around the airport
 - c. Identify what additional structures are needed in addition to the plans
- 3. Define the standard for gate sizes in WolvHaven**
- 4. Identify how to stop non-airport staff transport fanatics from entering the premissis**
- 5. Identify if it's even a good idea to plan an airport this early in FifthHaven's development**
- 6. Identify if it's good to even plan this much considering how long it will be until FifthHaven is released**



17Q2-6.001

Motion Name:

Southern Westford Island Habitation Bill

Proposed By:

Proposal by Aldranster50, not officially affiliated with any political parties.

Points Made:

1. This part of Westford Island remains lonely and sad, but Wolvhaven is about coming together, thriving with happiness, so with a high street like Stalingrad, it plans for whatever is lacking in Wolvhaven. [opening speech, aldranstar50]
2. Wolvhaven is already filled, why is there a need for more buildings on Westford? [noodle]
3. The Thing is because can see the southern end of westford has nothing, which is a bit odd, as if we are finishing up the entire city, so WAP is supporting this bill for the completion of city. [gukkygukz, MP]
4. Don't support the bill, It is not the right way to work on inhabiting the area, current infrastructure is ready for habitation, issue lies in zoning and building types, not in roads, overpasses and infrastructure edits,would like to vote nay and possibly insert counter-bill with better solution. [autobus22, MP]
5. First of all, this habitation completely goes into auto's sherlock home bill which plans on more house which this habitation is planning on doing, so i believe that the houses will boost Wolvhaven's population, allow shops to open elsewhere and etc. [proposer, aldranstar50]
6. Aldranster50, you have not properly read the Sherlock Homes bill, it seeks to increase the amount of /homes, not the amount of buildings in the city, Aldranster's bill goes into what may or may not be needed, and mainly in infrastructure, not rezoning or stimulating building in the area, meaning the bill is entirely ineffective, hence, i would like to make a counter bill that will effectively represent the purpose of the bill. [autobus22, MP]



Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ul style="list-style-type: none">1. GukkyGukz2. Adranstar50	<ul style="list-style-type: none">1. Hinwapoon2. Minebuilder12233. Starcubed4. Sambrose5. Autobus226. Noodles_Doodles7. Ninjabob1797

AYES: 3 NOES: 9

Motion is therefore resolved in the unaffirmative.



Proposing Habitation For Southern Westford Island

A

B I L L

TO

Propose A Habitation Of Southern Westford Island.

Be it enacted by the president of the city-state of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows

1: Proposed Planning

- a. Given that there is time, a proposal by the Wolvhaven Government will make a plan to habitate Southern Westford Island. This will include beach extension, road extension, apartments and shops. 4 roads will be extended, including a currently-north turn from connecting City Hall from Wolfminster Island to Waterloo in Westford Island and a underpass under M5.
- b. The 4 roads that will be extended will be merged into a main road.
- c. The process will take place as long as Fifth Haven does not interrupt progress

2: Buildings

- a. The Government will be required to release plans. The public will debate in parliament and if plans are insufficient for multiple times, the Government will have The choice to cancel the extension after 3 plans.
- b. The habitation will require tower blocks/living places/shops

3: Costs

- a. Costs will be decided by the Government

4: Short Title

- a. This should be known as 'South(ern) Westford Island Habitation Bill'

5: Effect

- a. The bill will go to effect if the Government think there is enough time before Fifth Haven



17Q2-6.002

Motion Name:

EPSR (Examiner Promotion System Revamp) Bill

Proposed By:

Proposal by autobus22, MP, from HGP

Points Made:

1. Right now examiner promotions are often unclear and poorly documented and the system is liable to easy misuse, having a system where people are required to vote aye/nay or -/+ on people for examiners with the same 3 person + requirement as right now, gives a proportional and more effective system and weeding out of people who are not fit for the job. [opening speech, autobus22, MP]
2. We already have a bill in place, which you should have known about, had you attended the last sessions. [Ninjabob1797, MP]
3. I am aware of that bill, I have read through all the bills past parliament sessions, and caught up with all the facts, as it is more effective and securing for drivers and examiners, and quality of services provided by those. [autobus22, MP]
4. If you would've caught up on discord, you would've seen that a tutorial video is being scripted. [sam]
5. A tutorial video could assist very well in making sure training and examining is easier but it should not replace examining which is a last row of defense to assure people who just didn't read rules or watched the video properly from causing significant issues. Meaning examiners will exist and my bill will still apply, not to driver and makes sure this done better [proposer, autobus22, MP]
6. There are already, what would you call them, preparations in place for the new system, I do not know why you want to take all that hard work and literally throw it out the window, waste time, furthermore, all of us (my side of the house), agree that this bill is just something that you want to use so that you can say that you did something, rather than a blank slate, anyways back to topic. this bill is useless there are already preparations in place for the next bill. you want a new role, you might as well name it quality control. [Ninjabob1797, MP]
7. This bill is indeed based partially on the old system, a rename to quality control could be made I see no issue in that, as that is basically examiners job in the first place. The thing is that without examiners, even with the video you allow people with experience and frankly often ignoring parts of the video no knowledge to cause issue on manual lines so



i suggest to compliment this with a simpler exam and have examiner promotion as stated for the reason already stated, in combination with the system approved in the last parliamentary meeting bill about the topic. This just adds a small, not as intensive for examiners, layer to extra protection to the system to avoid (large scale) issues with muppets driving around poorly [proposer, autobus22, MP]

8. As i was saying before, there are already preparations in place for our next system, so this bill is more or less useless. I do not see why, after so much work, we should ignore it. Waste wix's precious time, and mat's and literally take everything, put in a box, cremate the box, bury the box, and burn the land above and around the boc, followed by a nuclear detonation just to top it off. This bill is a waste of time, and a waste of resources, and basically is an ineffective way of trying to get rid of something that hasn't even started, the current passed bill is already effective enough, it has been though, it has been combined. [Ninjabob1797, MP]
9. My bill does not deny the existence nor the purpose of the last bill. However the last bill removed a crucial part of safety and good service on manual lines. This bill is very very simple way makes sure in a combined addition to the system approved on April 30th, to approve the system in safety and user and passenger friendliness, giving a middle ground where none of the preparations are lost and even if there would be some lost, the existing of preparation to do something, does not equal it being the most effective plan to use and keep using. That does not make sense. You just stated preparation equals to useless to overwrite but what is useless to overwrite does not equal preparation [proposer, autobus22, MP]
10. Imagine the metro system is a dish, and you have garnish of salt and pepper, the last bill was pepper, something to complement the dish, your bill is the salt, the dish already has salt in it, and there;s no need to add more, your bill is useless. So i would like to urge the house to move to voting so we can get this useless bill over and done with. [Ninjabob1797, MP]
11. I see the debate between Ninjabob1797, MP and autobus22, MP as a way two filibusters trying to slow don't parliament, could we please just vote on the bill? [olovld, MP]
12. The base line of any flavouring is salt, the last bill added pepper, but it won't serve as a full flavour. It removed safety and service quality assurance via removing examiner, examiner, though in a significantly less important role still is important to do this, it cannot be guaranteed without the players are aware and capable of driving properly, which is something to strive for on manual lines to keep them interesting to ride and for players commuting. This bill serves as an addition to the last bill. For better service quality assurance. Not to break down any bills purpose. If you find that idea useless that shall be your opinion. I won't be able to change that so long you do not see why it would be required, which i to my knowledge have explained more than enough now. I will not be going back to speak for this session for that reason except if any other member has a valid question about the subject in question in this parliament bill. [autobus22, MP]



- 13. Aren't this bill about revamping the examiners bill? It does not relate to the existing of examiners of whether they should exist or not. [GukkyGukz, MP]
- 14. This is very a good notice GukkyGukz, MP. For this bill to work however examiner reassurance has to be in place as well. This has to do more with me not being experienced yet with formulating bills, as you can evidently see by the bills odd construction compared to the other bills. I apologise if I didn't fully gave information in the bill itself on what it is supposed to do [auto,MP]

Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ul style="list-style-type: none">1. TheK9Trotter24012. y0urs_Tr1y3. GukkyGukz4. Starcubed5. Autobus226. Number_101	<ul style="list-style-type: none">1. Hinwapoon2. Ninjabob17973. Olovd4. Sambrose5. Noodles_Doodles

AYES: 8 NOES: 7

Motion is therefore resolved in the affirmative



Examiner Promotion System Revamp (EPSR) Bill

A
B I L L
TO

Revamp the way Examiners are promoted because the current system contains some flaws and loopholes that can be misused.

Be it enacted by the president of the city-state of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. Proposed Changes

- a. A +/- vote system will be introduced to replace the current system which requires 3 approvals from competent examiners.
- b. At least half of the existing examiners must vote either in a + or a -. The majority will determine the person's examinership application.
- c. Examiners will be required to state reasons for his/her decision

2. Reason for changes

- a. Currently possible for people to go around examiners who do not wish to approve them for any reason
- b. These people still get approved regardless of their inability to get examinership.
- c. Lack of guidelines to retract endorsements
- d. Getting balanced opinion from people who are responsible for endorsing people
- e. This new system makes it easier to see if a person is suitable for examinership.

3. Short Title

- a. This act may be cited as the Examiner Promotion Revamp Act, 2017

4. Implementation

- a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president.



17Q2-6.003

Motion Name:

Citizen Test Screenshot Promotion Rule Adjustment Bill

Proposed By:

Proposal by autobus22, MP, from HGP

Points Made:

1. This bill proposes outside of the already implemented background checks in all cases a full screenshot, not a snippet has to be used for promotion to citizen. It is not harder to people with a snippet tool to select their whole Minecraft window and it gives a small, but existent layer of people having a less easy time to hide illegal client modification [opening speech]
2. I understand what this bill is trying to do, but i have properly thought about it and there are advantages and disadvantages, even if we tell for a big window or not. I understand what autobus is trying to do, but some people will just take a picture with there phone, blurring out there is two minecraft (hacked and non-hacked). But at the same time, people don't know how to take a screenshot, forcing them to install bandicam, or anything the same nature. Either way i am for the bill, which will decrease hacking a lot, and will be very efficient for Wolvhaven's future. [Aldranster50]
3. If a person using hacked client to get into the room, if a person using hacked client to get in the room and he relog using a non-hacked client, you won't see the difference. That's my thought of this bill, [GukkyGukz, MP]
4. So, my statement is in response to Aldranster50's statement. You said that they will take a picture with their phone, am i right? They are required to take a SCREENSHOT, not a picture with their phone. And another point is that they may ask for help in screenshot, so we are not forcing them to download a third-party program like bandicam. [Noodle_Doodles]
5. Also in response to Aldranster50, people are already required to screenshot, phone photos and not allowed already, so inapplicable. This bill only states people can only get citizen with their full minecraft window in the screenshot, to snippet out parts external tools would already have to be used, meaning this doesn't make it any harder to submit a screenshot for citizen. Screenshotting for citizen additionally can be done via ingame screenshot and both Windows and Linux have build in screenshot capabilities for their operating system, your comment by that made no sense and doesn't actually respond to the bill but adding one small step more for people who want to use hacks to get there,

WolvHaven Minecraft Server:

Copyright © 2017 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.



- gives a small extra layer of protection, weeding out a small amount of all people who would do this is the first place, considering it has no further downsides. That small bit is costless gain, so I see no reason why this should not be implemented. [Autobus22, MP]
6. First of all, there should be a back-to-spawn-point area (if possible), which is a few blocks around the sign, meaning that if they relog, they will automatically respawn at the spawnpoint. If this is possible, this will cut the hacking threat even more, forcing them to retake the test 1 more time, and people online can report to staff members of the server. [Aldranstar50]
 7. Again, my response is to Aldranster50's statement, please just stick to topic. Do not add more details as this is not in the bill.[Noodles_Doodles]
 8. Here is what i would like to tell each an everyone, this bill proposed here, is simply an act of security theater. They know we do this to prevent them, so they think of another way to circumvent it. I'd suggest instead of proposing such bill, we should enforce more resources into checks of these players. [MC_Dunc]
 9. Dunc: This measure costs nothing in resources or staff. This measure also doesn't affect anyone but people affected, it just adds a very small extra step weeding out a very small percentage of people with bad intentions in that sense. It is costless, and may or may not have an effect and does not cause inconvenience to anyone [proposer, autobus22, MP]
 10. In response to auto, do you acknowledge what is security theater. By imposing such bills, we may only be able to prevent these people for only a few times, and then, they found an alternative, we are back to square one. This bill is not a long term fix, to be honest, this issue does not have a long term fix, so, in order not to waste our time in proposal more bills to prevent hackers in the future, we do NOT accept this bill. [MC_Dunc]
 11. This bill does not cost or cause downsides but as you said will help weed a small amount of people. It isn't a permanent fix but it still does a thing, it is intended to do a thing, not to cause permanent fix, as there is as you state none. The bill is costless and effortless for all people applying for citizen but yet may still give minor results, meaning for that it is useful in my opinion. I wholly understand why some people are against this bill and I will not go against that opinion as it is a matter of opinion and not on what this bills use is for. I want to suggest to not respond further and head into voting. Both yours and my view should clear enough. [proposer, autobus22, MP]
 12. Auto, once again, i would like to tell you that this bill DOES have downsides. People evolve, you stop them once, you have to propose another bill to prevent. That is a drain of parliament manpower. [Mc_Dunc]



Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
1. Aldranstar50 2. Autobus22 3. AngelKevin_ 4. Y0urs_tru1y 5. Number_101 6. Ken000 7. CrashBandicoot7	1. Hinwapoon 2. Ninjabob1797 3. MC_Dunc 4. Sambrose 5. Olovd 6. Blalex 7. Noodles_Doodles

AYES: 8 NOES: 10

Motion is therefore resolved in the unaffirmative.



Citizen Test Screenshot Promotion Rule Adjustment Bill

A
B I L L
TO

Change the rules for being promoted from Tourist to Citizen.

Be it enacted by the president of the city-state of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. Proposed Changes

- a. People will have to send a screenshot to Discord for their promotion regardless of any situation.
- b. The screenshot must comply with the new screenshot criteria.

2. New Screenshot Criteria

- a. The screenshot must not:
 - i. Be a snippet or cropped image
- b. The screenshot should
 - i. Be an image that captures the whole minecraft window.
 - ii. Have the player in the citizen test completion room
 - iii. Have the confirmation message prominently displayed in the bottom left corner

3. Reason for changes

- a. Snippers can be used to hide certain modifications to client in promotion picture
- b. This bill makes it harder to bypass undetected using said method
- c. Adds another step to people who try to use modified clients as as they are always required to have sent a screenshot instead of being promoted directly in game

4. Short Title

- a. This act may be cited as the Citizen Test Criteria Adjustment Act, 2017

5. Implementation

- a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president



17Q2-6.004

Motion Name:

Sherlock Homes Bill

Proposed By:

Proposal by Autobus22,MP, from HGP

Points Made:

1. Homes. [opening speech, autobus22, MP]
2. I Understand the type of homes he mean, and 3 homes is not enough for me. I like /home to shop so if this is for the increase, I will only use them to directly access shops of mine. [Aldranster50]
3. As a suspended, I totally disagree with the lack of homes, This is because homes are only for some very important or used normally places. The current amount is definitely sufficient. [MC_Dunc]
4. In my opinion the quality that comes is not not enough, so what does this cover the variety of homes and the quality of the amount being built [Number_101]
5. I think we should add more apartments or homes because people can stay in it and also increase the build counts in WH. [_AngelKevin_] [Out of topic]
6. I think that there should be not only multiple /home commands for higher ranks but also the average citizen, I have one in pangaea and one in the city and it's a pain to wait while travelling [Number_101]
7. First of all, i would like to mention that if we increase number of homes, people just set more, and then public transport in WHC will simple be useless. [MC_Dunc]
8. The purpose of the /home command is to warp to someone 'home' or bed is not the same as marking a point in the city and warping to there they still have to take public transportation if they want to get to the other islands [Number_101]



Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Minebuilder12232. Aldranstar503. Ken0004. JuliusMS5. Y0urs_truly6. Number_1017. _AngelKevin_8. Noodle_Doodles9. autobus22	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Hinwapoon2. Sambrose3. Olovd4. _ezzo5. Blalex

AYES: 11 NOES: 7

Motion is therefore resolved in the affirmative.



Sherlock Homes Bill

A

B I L L

TO

Propose people to have more homes.

Be it enacted by the president of the city-state of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. Proposed Changes

- a. Raise of amount of maximum homes for various ranks
- b. New amounts to be discussed in further meetings.

2. Reason for changes

- a. Many are limited in their homes in unpleasant ways
 - i. Architects or people who play a role in multiple worlds on the server feel that the max number of homes is too little

3. Short Title

- a. This act may be cited as the Shelock Homes Act, 2017

4. Implementation

- a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president