
Saturday, 21 October 2017 Hansard

Session 1, Quarter 4 of 2017
21 October 2017

Bills tabled:

Bill Name Proposer	Bill No	Stage of Consideration	Outcome (Ayes/Noes)
Teleport Ask Access Review Bill Eltontay11, Independent	17401	First, Second & Third Reading	0/10
Tree Command Access Bill Autobus22, MP, HGP	17402	First, Second & Third Reading	20/1
Gardellia Road Notification Bill Autobus22, MP, HGP	17403	First, Second & Third Reading	5/15
Train Operator & Examiner Reform Bill Endermat96, MP, NAT	17404	First & Second Reading	Debate Adjourned

Speaker of Parliament:

1. Ninjabob1797 (17401 & 17402)
2. Silverwolv (17403 & 17404)

Original report written by:

Hinwapoon
Ninjabob1797
MC_Dunc
21 October 2017

WolvHaven Minecraft Server:

Copyright © 2017 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.

17401

Bill Name:

Teleport Ask Access Review Bill

Tabled By:

Eltontay11, Independent

Debate:

1. [Eltontay11, Independent] Sometimes, in Gardellia, a user might need help with building a MASSIVE build (like an airport). Then, he will probably ask for help. People who are willing to help then realised that he / she is 3000 blocks away from the destination. There are no admins online, and he/she can't ask for a TP. With this bill, they can just TPA to the user they want to help, thus avoiding wasting time and increasing productivity.
2. [L_izzo, MP, NCP] So as you know, teleports are currently banned to simulate realism for fellow citizens. Now that Gardellia has been reopened however, the situation is slightly changed, but even about that, elton's bill is not really good. WH\$3 is a bit too much for a TP and otherwise there is no need to allow. I propose and recommend that if someone wants to teleport within Gardellia, he must ask for assistance from any helper or above. All staff and semi-staff are fairly available and there is not just any need to allow for WH\$3 teleport.
3. [Olovld, MP, SGNP] Well, as he is not here, I'll make this quick. He had an example of Gardellia, being hard to traverse. But gardellia already has a high speed transport system, so if we put this bill in we could just make the Gardellia rail network useless. So I believe that we should not bring this bill forward.
4. [minebuilder1223, MP, Prime Minister, PFG] Thank you Speaker. I would like to mention the fact that the UPC coalition has already had plans for a citizen TPA bill for a long time. I would also like to say that we do support Citizen TPA, but not in the form that is being suggested in this bill. To make it more reasonable to people, we also believe that there should be a trial period, in which the effects of allowing Citizen TPA are moderated and a decision is made at the end of such a trial period.

5. [VernCow, MP, DEM] Thank you. First of all, I would like to mention that as a new citizen of the server, it is rather hard for citizens to navigate around and explore. Thus /tpa makes it easier for new citizens on the server. So I think that if and when the /tpa command is implemented, it should be for the entire server, not just a certain world. I also agree that the /tpa command is useful for the reasons mentioned in the bill. But one thing I don't agree with is the time limit, and I think that it the time limit should be abolished. Since the /tpa command requires both money and another person to accept it. I don't think there is a need for the time limit.
6. [Silverwolv, Independent] Thank you Mr Speaker. I move that this motion be not agreed to. Due to the low pricing of usage of the command. VIPs are entitled to the usage of tpa and tpahere. It is what makes the rank special. Why should we reduce the value of getting VIP rank just so that people can use it freely and because they are probably too lazy to travel themselves? Yes, there are limited transport options currently. But that is due to a bug with 1.12. I therefore move that if this bill has to be passed, that it be passed that these measures be implemented temporarily only. Because our VIPs deserve something in return for showing exemplary behaviour and tpa and tpahere is that something.
7. [L_izzo, MP, NCP] I personally agree with my honorable friend. We, the senate, understand the citizen's frustration. We have discussed regarding the issue of transport. If a solution is recieved, it won't be this. I shall try to endeavor and make easier until the bug is hopefully fixed, but this bill won't fit in any case, even extreme. If any teleport in Gardellia is required, within far distances, the staff might be able to help you. Otherwise, no. No teleports for VIP and below. Thank you.
8. [minebuilder1223, Prime Minster, MP, PFG] Thank you Mr. Speaker, I would first like to highlight the fact that this is an issue that was brought up by a member of the public in the June/July general elections debate. This highlights how much an issue the public feel it is. Therefore I believe importance should be placed on this issue. But it is something that still needs to be investigated. In reply to VernCow, I believe that citizen tpa should be disallowed in the WolvHaven city world. Since all locations are within walking distance or can be accessed by the metro and I believe that once the issues with transport are solved, the citizen tpa access in WolvHaven will be unnecessary and will prevent people from using the WolvHaven metro. Lastly, I would like to inquire to ezzo, has he possibly changed his position on this bill upon listening to president's viewpoint? Could the honorable member reply to that please?
9. [Verncow, MP, DEM] Thank you. In response to what the member SilverWolv said just now, I do understand what you mean by people being lazy and not using public

transport. However, there is a portion of new citizen who don't understand the server a lot because they are new. Also, such a basic thing like /tpa should not be made exclusive for some player only just to make their rank "special". On another hand, I think that we can all agree that not all buildings are described about on the wiki pages, so it is rather hard for new players to navigate. Also, no coordinates are provided on the wiki pages, as such it makes navigating even harder. With the above reasons, and how hard it is for new members to navigate around the server due to the lack of understanding. I think implementing /tpa is not an "extreme" thing.

10. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] As much as the bill, provides some form of money sink through the requirement to pay for /tpa use this MP would like to question if the proposer of the bill had too much influence from foreign server protocols when drafting the finer details.
11. [ezzo_, MP, NCP] Vern, I have felt your feelings back when I was citizen. Here, is a different system. We have a dense transport system. It is hard to appreciate, but it is still a great one. So the TP command means different than what you think. Metro stations are max 5min walking distance from ANY building. Anything shutdown can also be replaced with a bus. I do understand your concerns. But, TP means different here, it is more unique. The transport system serves as much a money sink as it is to support people to use a WH\$3 /tp to change, drastically worsens our economy, even more flawed than it was. That means easing, yes, but here we are realistic, you are expected to walk like a real person, a real Citizen here. In the real world you could not just expect to teleport to wherever you want. You are expected to traverse the systems of transport. This is the same system here.

Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SilverWolv 2. Autobus22 3. MC_Dunc 4. yOurs_TrU1y 5. JuliusMS 6. Minebuilder1223 7. Sambrose 8. Olovd 9. __Samuel__ 10. _ezzo

AYES: 0 NOES: 17 Motion is therefore negated.

WolvHaven Minecraft Server:

Copyright © 2017 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.

17402

Bill Name:

Tree Command Access Bill

Tabled By:

Autobuss22, MP, HGP

Debate:

1. [Autobus22, MP, HGP] This bill addresses the lack of access to a simple worldedit command called /tree
2. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] Mr Speaker. I believe this bill is extremely useful for people with access to world edit. As this too is essential for the aesthetic aspect of city design. It would be a painful task for people to spawn trees one by one as a city builder in gardellia. I believe this bill can support people who need to decorate greenery excessively.
3. [minebuilder1223, MP, PFG] Thank you mr Speaker. The UPC coalition agrees with this bill. We believe it is not unjust that all people with current w/e access also have access to the /tree command. As it is simply used for beautification purposes, and has the least possibility of all the commands of causing any collateral damage, such as //set and so on. I would also like to clarify that there are some people here who already have access to the /tree command, myself included. But there is also a good number of people who deserve the command just as much but do not have access. Therefore this bill has the full backing of the UPC coalition.

Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Olovd2. MC_Dunc3. _AngelKevin_4. VernCow5. Minebuilder12236. ROM54197. Sambrose8. __ Samuel __9. _ezzo10. Autobus2211. chan34kelvin	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. hinwapoon

AYES: 20 NOES: 1**Motion is therefore resolved in the affirmative.**

Tree Command Access Bill

A
B I L L
TO

Allow access to a worldedit command to generate trees to the Gardellia worldedit permissions.

Be it enacted by the president of the Federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. Proposed changes:

- a. Make the bindable tool /tree available to Gardellians with Worldedit access

2. Reason(s):

- a. Access to command saves time in city building
- b. Being able to generate simple tree's and large oaks with the /tree command which can be bound to any item (similarly to /brush) will make building parks and such a lot easier

3. Short title

- a. This act may be cited as the Tree Command Access Act, 2017

4. Implementation

- a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president.

17403

Bill Name:

Gardellia Road Notification Bill

Tabled By:

Autobus22, MP, HGP

Debate:

1. [Autobus22, MP, HGP] Confusion and Unknowingness about possible edits to your town in regards to roads aren't listed in the area with all the terms and rules for Gardellia right now. – This bill makes sure people are aware of the expressway plans.
2. [Olovld, MP, SGNP] Thank you Mr. Speaker. I believe it's every Gardellia mayor's' own responsibility to look up highway plans and infrastructure projects. I do not believe everything in life should be handed on a plate. The Gardellia highway plans are on the wiki, so as a Gardellia mayor it should be your own responsibility to look up plans and i do believe we should vote no to this bill.
3. [Autobus22, MP, HGP] I fully agree but there is an issue with that statement and that is the fact Gardellia builders are required to read certain information. There is no indication that roads might be ploughing through your town in that information. I propose solely to add a link, to the wiki page in the rules because currently people are expected to take a responsibility. They can't even know off, except if the searched for it specifically while there is no indication to search the topic.
4. [minebuilder1223, MP, Prime Minister, PFG] May i inquire. What was the motivation for the creation of this bill? The leader of the HGP being personally "disadvantaged" by his own lack of knowledge? Because it seems this bill only entered the house. Once his own personal town was affected. Also, If the Gardellia Expressway plans are to be moved to the rules page, then why don't we move the terms and conditions and the list of occupied stations in Gardellia and the list goes on. The Gardellia Expressway Plans are not at the same level as rules and they don't require to be on the rules page. Therefore we believe that it should be the owner's responsibility to check what is going on around their own town.

5. [autobus22, MP, HGP] From reading all information one has to read. What indication does the mandatory information give? That towns might be disturbed by roads. In response to the Prime Minister, the motivation for this bill arose when me, and turns out others were unaware of the plans. It is because there was no place in mandatory information, to even note the possible existence of highway plans that could seriously affect town planning. Hence I am proposing, that a link, to said plans should be inserted in the rules page as additional information. To ask the prime minister again, in response. Please note to me, what indication there would be, for such a thing existing, based on the mandatory information?
6. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] Mr Speaker. I simply don't get the motive behind this honorable gentleman to raise such a bill. I believe the honorable gentleman has enough sanity to know that such plans, don't count as rules. It's just for the information of the Gardellia city builders so the Opposition thinks this bill is rendered useless and a filibuster.
7. [minebuilder1223, MP, Prime Minister, PFG] I move that the Leader of the HGP is openly lying to the chamber. He seems to be making the point that it he did not bring this topic up due to the highway affecting his own personal town. Despite the fact that it was as soon as this problem arose around his town that all of a sudden this bill popped up in the line-up for bills this session. May the member opposite clarify the fact that it was a problem concerning his town personally that made him bring up this bill and that he is only proposing this for his own good.
8. [autobus22, MP, HGP] The backbencher has noted to be personally affected and by that has not lied by that proof. (<https://i.imgur.com/7YSU7iq.png>) Next up, yes, The backbencher was personally affected, but so turned out others around me. The issue for the backbencher doesn't exist anymore at this point and it was resolved. the issue for the backbencher doesn't exist anymore at this point and it was resolved. But with the lack of information accessible to be mandatory for people to know, another person will inevitably run into the same issue later. Hence the proposing of this bill.
9. [olovld, MP, SGNP] Thanks Mr-Speaker. The information was available, one only needed to do a bit of research. We should not be forced to put every plan on the wiki, as that would take a lot of time and effort that would for the most part be wasted and may I remind the member that if he has a problem with an infrastructure project he can take it to the Gardellian Assembly.
10. [Autobus22, MP, HGP] May I remind the honourable member that where is no indications that plans even exist within the information mandatory for Gardellian builders to know. Hence, a reference or link, to the plans would be needed. He is expecting

people, to know and research a topic. - Without there be any indication to people, the topic exists in the first place.

11. [minebuilder1223, MP, Prime Minister, PFG] If the member would check the logs of yesterday when i reminded the older of the HGP of the existence of the road. There was only 10 minutes between when I announced that and when he announced he would make a bill, and between those times, he only appeared to be interested in arguing. Not asking other people of their viewpoint.
12. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] Mr speaker I believe the honorable gentleman simply does not know how to define "rules". Honestly, can a simple announcement in the discord do the trick? Is this really a strict hard RULE people must comply? The leader of HGP needs to redefine his standards regarding to Rules.
13. [olovid, MP, SGNP] Thank you mr speaker. I still insist that it is a mayor's own responsibility to know about gardellia. When one acquires a city in Gardellia, one should know that it comes with responsibility. And if one has any questions about highways or general infrastructure, there are people to answer. But instead of arguing, we need a bill for every infrastructure project, maybe one should take responsibility over their own town, and at least try to keep updated, as it is not Gardellia Assembly's responsibility to keep one updated like a newspaper. Thank you.
14. [Autobus22, MP, HGP] I would like to remind my right honourable friend,- that what is defined as the information relevant to people is noted in the application for builder which refers back to the rules and regulations page. Meaning there is no indication, the expressway project, which can majorly affect town planning, even exists. All this bill does, is request a link to be added to the rules to the plan, not the asking of the gardellia council to bill and news update everyone constantly. Because of the severe effect it may have to new players towns and the inexistence of any reference to the existence of the expressway project in mandatory information. It is ones responsibility to know what's going on his town. - but what is defined as that responsibility, doesn't include the expressway plans. Hence I request the voting yes to this bill, to ensure people, are aware of this information in the future.
15. [olovid, MP, SGNP] I believe that expressways plans. It's pretty clear that ardellia will and has a Gardellia expressway system. So i do not believe that we need to explain that to every new Gardellia builder.
16. [autobus22, MP, HGP] My friend, I would like to remind you, that there is no indication for the existence of this system, and that my friend has literally just made the statement,

it is to be expected, so people should know and look at it themselves without indication. Except for its existence in many different parts of gardellia while this is a very destructive issue if it is not noted in town planning.

Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Autobus222. ROM54193. __Samuel__	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Hinwapoon2. MC_Dunc3. _AngelKevin_4. JuliusMS5. Minebuilder12236. Olovd7. Sambrose8. VernCow

AYES: 5 NOES: 15

Motion is therefore resolved in the affirmative.

Gardellia Road Notification Bill

A
B I L L
TO

Make the expressway plans part of the basic information in the Gardellia rules

Be it enacted by the president of the Federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. Proposed changes:

- a. Put the link to the Gardellia Expressway Plans with the Gardellia rules
http://wolvhaven.shoutwiki.com/wiki/Gardellia_Expressway_Plans

2. Reason(s):

- a. Confusion and unknowingness about possible edits to your town in regards to roads aren't listed in the area with all the terms and rules for Gardellia right now.
- b. There is currently no way for people to know, just be reading the basic Gardellia Info, that there might be a road smashing through their town at some point.

3. Short title

- a. This act may be cited as the Gardellia Road Notification Act, 2017

4. Implementation

- a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president.

17404

Bill Name:

Train Operator & Examiners Reform Bill

Tabled By:

Endermat96, MP, NAT

Debate:

1. [Endermat96, MP, NAT] Recently there are issues regarding loopholes between Endermat and autobus's different examiner bills. Therefore the OER Bill will clarify reforms from examiner roles to train operator training.
2. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] Thanks speaker. This MP would like to highlight an event witnessed earlier this week. When autobus was examining elton, he mentioned that his standards were higher, so therefore the extra strictness caused a difference in the marking scheme to the point where 64% is considered a pass? even though as far as this MP is aware is not really a passable grade. This mess of standards would certainly confuse any potential driver or examiner applicants. Hence, this MP and the NAT would like to enforce a new unified federal standard to make matters clearer. Thanks.
3. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] Mr speaker, the opposition fully supports this bill. as it regulates the way all drivers are examined. it provides a framework for people who blindly judge people on their own set of standards as an examiner. I find this bill extremely useful for both the examiner and the general public so the opposition fully supports this bill.
4. [Autobus22, MP, HGP] I would like to note there is one single issue in the examining system. That is the fact, that the technical knowledge isn't tested under this bill proposal while currently, from what I am ware, me and some others, do test people on knowledge of the banners and rules when driving as well.
5. **Debate Adjourned. Third reading scheduled for 4 November 2017.**

Train Operator & Examiners Reform Bill

A
B I L L
TO

Clarify the distinctions within the powers of the examiner rank and to explain how to obtain a train license.

Be it enacted by the president of the Federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. Purpose of bill

- a. Repeal and combine the Examiner System Abolishment Act, 2017 & the Examiner Promotion System Revamp Act, 2017 (ESPR)
- b. Clear up loopholes caused by both conflicting acts
- c. Have a federal standard on the license exam grading due to people having different standards.
- d. Clause 1b in ESPR Act is not feasible. Only approximately four competent examiner show up on a daily basis.
- e. Clause 1c in ESPR Act is not feasible. Examiners might ignore or just give a vague statement.
- f. There are people still wishing to hold onto their examiner rank.
- g. Reevaluation of examiners returning from extended leave to ensure they remain competent
- h. Blacklisted drivers currently have no method of appealing their blacklist, causing frustration.

2. Examiner Reform

- a. Repeal and void the following acts:-
 - i. Examiner System Abolishment Act, 2017
 - ii. Examiner Promotion System Revamp Act, 2017
- b. Examinership will remain
- c. Competent examiners will vote in favor or against a person requesting examinership.
 - i. Examiners must indicate on the driver database their vote
 - ii. A person who gets three or more “against” votes shall not qualify for competent examinership

3. Train Operator Training Reform

- a. In training/learning to operate trains, players have two options:
 - i. The official WolvHaven Metro Driver Training Video
 - ii. Taught in game by an examiner.
- b. To obtain a train license, a player must-:
 - i. Be examined by any examiner.
 - ii. Score at least 60 in the Train Operator test
 - iii. Not exceed five attempts at Train Operator Test.
 1. Candidates who exceed given attempts at Train Operator Test are to be blacklisted.
- c. Federal Standard Train Operator Grading (FSTOG) will now determine grading within the train license exam by examiners. Scores start 100 and is deducted based on the number of mistakes made by candidates

A sudden rough stop/start.	-5
PSDs opening before train comes to a stop.	-5
Underspeeding(example: 2 in a 7).	-5
Skipping a station.	-5
Unsafe stopping position(example: switch).	-10
Overrun/Underrun station.	-10
Overspeeding.	-10
Not claiming train.	-10
Ignoring a banner.	-15
Ignoring torch signal.	-20
Asking for a /command(help).	-25
Derailing	-100

- d. Players who have been blacklisted from train exams, competent examination rank, or train operating may appeal.

- i. Appeals may only be made after seven calendar days from the day of blacklist
- ii. Appeals may **ONLY** be accepted or denied by competent examiners or admins
 1. Appeals may not be accepted or denied by person(s) who issued the blacklist.
- iii. Appeals are to be submitted using the following format:
Username:
Reason behind this post:
Who Blacklisted you:
What time were you blacklisted?:
What Happened:
What you would like us to do:
Evidence: (if any. Try to provide so we can trust your appeal)
- iv. If first appeal is denied the player may resubmit seven calendar days later.
When second appeal is denied, the player is permanently blacklisted.
- e. Examiners inactive for six or more calendar months will have their examinership revoked. To get back examinership status, one must pass a Train Operator Test conducted by a competent examiner.

4. Short title

- a. This act may be cited as the Train operator & examiners reform bill (OER) of 2017.

5. Implementation

- a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president