



Saturday, 16 September 2017 Parliament Session Report

Session 6, Quarter 3 of 2017
16 September 2017

Bills tabled:

Bill Name Proposer	Bill No	Division (Ayes/Noes)	Outcome
Citizen Test Screenshot Regulation Bill Autobus22, MP, HGP	17Q306.001	10/4	Passed
5th Haven Green-spaces Bill Autobus22, MP, HGP	17Q306.002	8/11	Rejected
Anti Filibuster Bill Autobus22, MP, HGP	17Q306.003	8/10	Rejected
Drivers Union Bill Autobus22, MP, HGP	17Q306.004	7/10	Rejected
Pangaea Railway Identification Bill Autobus22, MP, HGP	17Q306.005	2/9	Rejected
Fifth Haven Parliamentary Committee Bill MC_Dunc, MP, DEM	17Q306.006	15/2	Passed
Pangaea Railway Permit Bill MC_Dunc, MP, DEM	17Q306.007	13/0	Passed

Speaker of Parliament:

1. Ninjabob1797

Original report written by:
Hinwapoon
16 September 2017

WolvHaven Minecraft Server:

Copyright © 2017 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.



17Q3-6.001

Bill Name:

Citizen Test Screenshot Regulation Bill

Tabled By:

Autobus22, MP, HGP

First Reading Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Autobus222. Aldranster503. Ardyle4. JuliusMS5. CackleFresh6. Minebuilder12237. JuliusMS8. _Noodur	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. _ezzo2. Hinwapoon

AYES: 16 NOES: 4

Motion is therefore resolved in the affirmative.

Debate:

1. Screenshot snippets can be used as a way to hide certain elements in the minecraft window such as hacks. This is not an effective way to stop that but it does give people more work to go around the system. After rejection the bill was shown the be usefull with at least 3 questionable citizen applications sparking outrage that it did not pass from some members a few weeks/months back, so I am bringing the bill up again. [Opening Speech]
2. How do I send the statement if it is long? Shall it be in smaller chunks or one long way? [_Noodur, MP, HGP]

WolvHaven Minecraft Server:

Copyright © 2017 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.



- 3. This is unnecessary. A, most of the time staff don't need full copy and it's enough for now. B, if one of the moderation unit and/or the senate flags the player, it is asked for. The background check is already enough. [_ezzo, MP, NCP]
- 4. Point A is irrelevant for it does not list a reason, just a plausible result and does not cover any of the arguments I made why this should be implemented. Point B also does not, the point makes it immediately more annoying for people affected negatively to apply, which is exact intention of the bill. It's not useful extremely often, but does not add extra work as there is no difference in hardness of making a full screenshot or snippet with tools that already have snippets and it does not further add jobs for staff either. It's a provisional method with a very low success rate but that costs nothing, and has no negative downside when not working in any situation. Conclusion: there is a small potential upside. But no single potential downside. [autobus22, MP, HGP]
- 5. Recently, we have seen a state of heightened tensions between WolvHaven and other rival server. This has resulted in a development of the Internal Security and Intelligence situation in WolvHaven, including the establishment of the DIU. [minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG]
- 6. Regarding to auto's reply to point B, the resend of the photo is not used frequently. Usually staff hold such suspicions unless it is confirmed suspected. It is usually for such proof. So all of the cases that had suspicions were true, the staff are experienced at sniffing out bad people, and the restriction of snippets add effort. It takes the exact same effort of staff and player in this law. [_ezzo. MP, NCP]

Second Reading Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minebuilder1223 2. CackleFresh 3. Olovid 4. JuliusMS 5. Aldranster50 6. Rom5419 7. Number_101 8. Kul3Dewd 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hinwapoon 2. _ezzo

AYES: 13 NOES: 4

Motion is therefore resolved in the affirmative.



Citizen Test Screenshot Regulation Bill

A

B I L L

TO

Implement some basic requirements for the screenshots when applying for Citizen.

Be it enacted by the president of the city-state of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. New requirements for screenshots:

- a. Screenshots must contain the whole minecraft player window, they may not use snippets anymore.

2. Reason(s):

- a. Snippets can be used as a way to hide certain elements in the minecraft window such as hacks. This is not an effective way to stop that but it does give people more work to go around the system.
- b. After rejection, the bill was shown the be useful with at least 3 questionable citizen applications.

3. Short title

- a. This act may be cited as the Citizen Test Screenshot Regulation Act, 2017

4. Implementation

- a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president.



17Q3-6.002

Bill Name:

5th Haven Green-Spaces Bill

Tabled By:

Autobus22, MP, HGP

First Reading Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Autobus22 2. Number_101 3. Rom5419 4. _Noodur 5. _ezzo 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CackleFresh 2. JuliusMS 3. Kul3Dwed

AYES: 8 NOES: 5

Motion is therefore resolved in the affirmative.

Debate:

1. This bill makes a set of guidelines for green spaces in 5th Haven in accordance to the environment, social environment, living conditions and looks of the city. [Opening Speech]
2. Fifth Haven does not even have a confirmed timeframe. I feel it is a bit hasty to start laying out guidelines and restrictions for Fifth Haven.[CackleFresh, MP, PFG]
3. In reply of CackleFresh’s statement. Guidelines and base restrictions need to be laid out up front in general, regardless of topic when the world’s construction or major planning does start. It means said planned already has to fulfill to the base guidelines and restrictions, meaning the argument. This is not the time to purpose the bill is completely invalid. [Autobus22, MP, HGP]
4. I would like the clarify why i vote nay on the first reading. I believe that this at this time is a waste of parliament time. Since there is a lot of much more important things that need to be set up for 5th Haven, such as the layout of the world itself. I also believe that this

WolvHaven Minecraft Server:

Copyright © 2017 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.



green spaces bill is somewhat unnecessary for when 5th Haven does reach completion. Since green spaces are far less important in Minecraft than in real life, and the enforcement of these green spaces prohibits creativity around the city. Green spaces can be established independently of each other and would still be perfectly sufficient for 5th haven. Therefore i believe that this bill is unnecessary and the issue of green spaces is not something to discuss now and can be dealt with in a better way.[minebuilder1223, MP, PFG]

5. May i just say that this bill imposes too many blocks of restrictions, technically making building impossible, since who wants to waste space? And 100 blocks away minimum seems too much. [Aldranster50, MP, RCP]
6. In a direct response to the Prime Minister, the planning for fifth haven regulations all has to done in the same timespan. (That is currently undefined). Regardless of what order they are handled in, doesn't matter so long one regulation isn't causations to another. In this case the regulation isn't causation by another, so your point of the wrong time is invalid. You also noted that it would restrict building. Together with Aldranster50 making the same point, it would not the regulations are set up leniently to allow more creative and flourishing city design creating a better looking overall city, The guidelines suggest the minimum of a very tiny park (which could for instance be a courtyard As well between buildings) one reasonable large amount of space. This guidelines are very lenient and are only here to establish a bare minimum for living conditions are the feeling, and living environment and design of the city. In regards to the argument that was made. This bill is not restrictive enough to cause such concerns, but is restrictive enough to enforce the existence of green spaces in such a way. The suggestion for independently choosing is actually what this bill does, it gives independent choice on how to handle greenspaces within a limited format. [autobus22, MP, HGP]
7. In my opinion, the green spaces mentioned in this bill add a touch of realism to future 5H. For those saying that it hinders creativity in the city, I believe it doesn't due to there being lots of opportunities to build many buildings. [_Noodur, MP, HGP]
8. autobus22, when i mentioned independence, i meant the independence of whether to create a park or not. Not the form that such park will take. Now, to follow on with that, i believe that the rigidity of the Bill in terms of green spaces undermines the freedom of creativity that WolvHaven thrives on. the fact that every district has green spaces opposed onto them removes that freedom in some senses. I also believe that the fact that this bill has been discussed so early on in the process and has had to be scheduled and rescheduled time and time again with the drama that it has brought with it has made people shy away from the more important issues regarding 5H. Therefore i believe that this bill needs to be rescheduled to a more appropriate time to be discussed. [minebuilder1223]
9. The point of moving the bill is invalid, I was not able to be there at the 3 times I moved it. I wanted to, logically be able to answer questions and worries, this has nothing to do



with the nature of the bill. Wolvhaven isn't based just on creativity, it's based on realism, good planning to create a coalitive city and creativity. Parks are a binding part in city planning and creating a coalition of styles, district, areas, sub areas and general city design. They are crucial in that sense and, though in some sense may in very occasional cases may restrict a small bit of creativity, creative park design and incorporation into the surrounding area actually adds more places to add creative and realistic, and innovative touches [autobus22, MP, HGP]

Second Reading Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ul style="list-style-type: none">1. MC_Dunc2. Number_1013. Autobus224. Rom54195. _Noodur	<ul style="list-style-type: none">1. Hinwapoon2. Olovd3. Minebuilder12234. JuliusMS5. y0urs_Tr1y6. _ezzo

AYES: 8 NOES: 11

Motion is therefore negated.



5th Haven Green-Spaces bill

A
B I L L
TO

Implement basic systems and guidelines for greenspaces and parks in fifth haven.

Be it enacted by the president of the city-state of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. New guidelines:

- a. Add the following guidelines for greenspaces in Fifth Haven:
(These guidelines do not apply in Industry Parks or the Airport or major event area's where they would be impossible to achieve.)
 - i. Any Buildings must be at most ~100 blocks away from a green space (A small or larger piece of greenery with at least a few tree's and a bench to sit on.) (This rule may be satisfied by a Park or District Park as well.)
 - ii. Any Building must be at most ~250 blocks away from a park of at least 1024 Sq. m (With one side being at least 16 blocks.) (These must contain multiple tree's and the general contents of a small park, This rule may be satisfied by a District Park as well.
 - iii. Any "District" (As to be defined as a major area possibly including several Sub-Districts) must have at least one District Park. A district park is a major park with space for possible small events. For instance (But not limited/required to specifically have): A play ground, a Dog walking area and public sporting places, like a Bar training set, a set of training items, Jeu de Boule Squares, an open soccer field, open access water playground of pool etc. etc. And must be at least 4096 Sq. Blocks (With all sides being at least 32 blocks.)
 - iv. 30% or more of major skyscrapers in the city will need some form of greenery on the roof to provide in a green, economic and environmentally friendly living environment.

2. Reason(s):

- a. This bill benefits the image and look of the city, The Environment and Social Living conditions.

3. Short title

- a. This act may be cited as the 5th Haven Green-Spaces Act, 2017

4. Implementation



- a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president.



17Q3-6.003

Bill Name:

Anti Filibuster Bill

Tabled By:

Autobus22, MP, HGP

First Reading Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. MC_Dunc2. ROM54193. Number_1014. _Noodur5. autobus22	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Olovd2. JuliusMS3. Minebuilder12234. _ezzo5. Hinwapoon

AYES: 8 NOES: 10

Motion is therefore negated.



Anti Filibuster Bill

A
B I L L
TO

Implement some changes to the system in which people can speak in parliament to avoid future filibustering based on empty arguments.

Be it enacted by the president of the city-state of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. New rules:

- a. Make it possible to request a point of order for the following reason:
 - i. An obvious Fill-a-busting session is occurring. Please head to the vote for this act/bill.

2. Reason(s):

- a. Filibustering based on empty comments and inaccurate topics or solutions became very common and it is wasting a lot of (sleep) time.

3. Short title

- a. This act may be cited as the Anti Filibuster Act, 2017

4. Implementation

- a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president.
- b.



17Q3-6.004

Bill Name:

Drivers Union Bill

Tabled By:

Autobus22, MP, HGP

First Reading Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MC_Dunc 2. Minebuilder1223 3. Autobus22 4. _Noodur 5. Number_101 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. hinwapoon

AYES: 9 NOES: 2

Motion is therefore resolved in the affirmative.

Debate:

1. This bill allows for a good system to exist to get people trains frequently based on the new concession system for manual lines in a more reliable and function method. The current concession system which will commence next week will irreversibly without the drivers unions existence, collapse into a near complete non-service status for all manual lines. The union is not a required thing to be in but will allow people who are willing to collaborate under this system. This bill merely provides an optional tool in that companies and people don't have to use or be a part of. To make sure service stays on at least the level it is as the end goal of concessions was to make it better, not worse. [Opening Speech]
2. Schedules in WH are unreliable. There's no real life work to be forced to go to. no one wants a schedule that will fall down because everybody has a big homework. i recommend the driver system be the same way, request to run [_ezzo, MP, NCP]

WolvHaven Minecraft Server:

Copyright © 2017 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.



3. ezzo, you are woefully mistaken, This system is already existent. concessions were added and scheduled services became required in them. this bill only makes scheduling easier, more reliable and more accessible for people and drivers who want to be involved in this specific project. It is a way of coping with the system to not let the service get worse, your argument makes no sense, as this bill isn't doing that. That what you state was implemented via the concession system already out of any of our control. I take you are aware of the new concession system that's starting this month? Then why did you make the comment you did. the concession system requires timetabling, not the drivers union. The drivers union is a way to deal with that timetabling. To make sure people get a good service [autobus, MP, HGP]
4. May i ask the honourable member sitting opposite me why he seems to think that the entire concession system will collapse without a driver's union? Would autobus22 like to respond. [minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG]
5. To put simply, The concession system requires timetabled services. I can't control that. No one of us can. Companies often exist by one or two people. You expect said people to give a reliable frequent service. (without a huge amount of times the train doesn't come because neither is available?) Services will slow to once or twice a week, where it is call to get a train now. The drivers union solves that issue by with an as simple as possible system have timetabled drives that are callable and by providing a backup for the normal drives if the normal operation isn't available [autobus22, MP, HGP]
6. How are you going to ensure these timetables fit? Like how is this timetable thing gonna work if there aren't a lot of drivers. Also who guarantees that there would be people actually taking the lines during the timetable [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM]
7. To clarify to dunc: a concession is a bidding for operation of something, in this case a line. companies bid to get operation of a line and are responsible for TIMETABLING. This timetabling without the drivers union will result in extraordinarily infrequent train services. that could account back to 1-2 a week. and having mandatory work load, this bill avoids that. [autobus22, MP, HGP]



Second Reading Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ul style="list-style-type: none">1. MC_Dunc2. _Noodur3. Autobus224. Ardyle5. Number_101	<ul style="list-style-type: none">1. _ezzo2. Minebuilder12233. JuliusMS4. Hinwapoon5. Olovd

AYES: 9 NOES: 10

Motion is therefore negated.



Drivers Union Bill

A

B I L L

TO

Implement a system for smart driver timetables to avoid lines being undriven in the currently added concessions model.

Be it enacted by the president of the city-state of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. What does the drivers union do:

- a. Any company can sign up to be part of the drivers union, it means companies in their concession model can use “Flex Times”. Flex times is a form of timetabling where in regular intervals trains drive only on request. If a request is made for a certain time and a driver from the drivers union is online they will be automatically assigned to drive that drive, except if it interferes with standard timed timetabling (Such as starting a flex drive on a line while you are scheduled on a normal drive 2 minutes later.) and except if there is valid reasons (Such as a parliamentary meeting, or helping a tourist) to not drive at that moment in time.
 - i. Flex drives can not be implemented on a more than 2*Hour frequency to avoid overloading drivers on days with high demand
 - ii. Flex drives can only be inserted at times there generally are drivers available, the normal request a train system will apply at times there generally are no drivers available.
 1. The driver availability is to be determined based on when drivers are most active, this seems to be between 7am GMT and 9pm GMT
 2. Timetabling for Flex drives has to be displayed in multiple time zones which are common on the server, Mainly GMT, CETS, Singaporean Time and American time(zones)
- b. Any driver can become part of the Drivers Union if they are willing to accept the conditions for Flex Times as described above.
- c. This bill will not make it disallowed for people to request trains out of timetable (in the mentioned times drivers are most often online), but Drivers Union drivers are permitted to deny non-timetabled drives in the aforementioned driver availability time.

2. Who will be responsible for the drivers union:

- a. **Examiners (possibly in combination with staff members)**

**3. Reason(s):**

- a. This flex-times system gives a more reliable and frequent timetable to the companies who have lines in concession.

4. Short title

- a. This act may be cited as the Drivers Union Act, 2017

5. Implementation

- a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president.



17Q3-6.005

Bill Name:

Pangaea Railway Identification Bill

Tabled By:

Autobus22, MP, HGP

First Reading Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
1. MC_Dunc	1. Rom5419 2. _ezzo 3. JuliusMS 4. Hinwapoon 5. olovid

AYES: 2 NOES: 9

Motion is therefore negated



Pangaea Railway Identification Bill

A
B I L L
TO

Implement a system for registration of pangea Railways to avoid long-term issues and unintentional grieving.

Be it enacted by the president of the city-state of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. What does this bill do:

- a. People are required to sign up their rail lines for registration purposes before building.
 - i. If not constructed within 2 months they will have to re-register
 - ii. Register will be publicly visible
 - iii. Registration needs to contain rough alignment.
 - iv. each railway gets assigned a code based on registration.
- b. To build a railway you must have permission from all building owners a close (to be determined) distance from your railway line. (Even if underground)
 - i. This does not apply to buildings that were build in the area since the rail line was registered.
- c. Railway owners must mark their stations with who owns the railway and put the code on this sign as well.
- d. If a registered rail line is (Partially) non-functional (for instance due to broken stations or griefs) for longer than 4 months it will be auctioned.
- e. If people build in an area without permission (which is required to register) this is considered grieving of someone's town or base and may be subject to warnings or verbal warnings similarly to in Gardellia.

2. Reason(s):

- a. Making sure railways in Pangea are regulated and easily distinguishable based on who made and operates line to increase ease of investigation when issues arise with the line or station(s) and make it less likely for conflicts to arise based on rail lines in pangea.

3. Short title

- a. This act may be cited as the Pangaea Railway Identification Act, 2017

4. Implementation



- a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president.



17Q3-6.006

Bill Name:

Fifth Haven Parliamentary Committee Bill

Tabled By:

MC_Dunc, MP, DEM

First Reading Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MC_Dunc 2. CackleFresh 3. Minebuilder1223 4. _Noodur 5. hinwapoon 	

AYES: 10 NOES: 0

Motion is therefore resolved in the second reading.

Debate:

1. The Wolvhaven discord now has a fifth haven channel regarding the discussion of the new Wolvhaven city world. It is a joy to me to see so many people being interested to this new world. In order to put ideas into discussion and form a large blueprint for our new world, the Democratiam Party proposes a new parliament system: a parliamentary committee system, to allow faster discussion and more efficient policy making regarding specific topics. We hope that this new system will get things done more quickly and smoothly to make the new world a greater and faster success. [Opening Speech]
2. The Government is in support of this bill. We believe that the introduction of the 5th Haven world would be smoother if there was a platform for the development of the world to be discussed. This would mean that issues can be discussed and resolved and the opinion of different people can be taken into account. Therefore we support the establishment of this committee and wish to see this bill pass.[minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG]

WolvHaven Minecraft Server:

Copyright © 2017 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.



3. First of all, It's very nice to see that parliament is working together unlike recently. (No "shade" intended) Anyways, on behalf of HGP, our stance on this is that we are in support of this bill. This would allow more co-operation between builders of 5H. We hope that this bill may pass through HoR, senate, and the president himself.[_Noodur, MP, HGP]
4. May I ask the proposer of this bill a question? If the committee meeting runs over the start of parliament time, will this have an affect on parliament, or will the committee session be canceled/delayed/relocated? [Aldranster50, MP, RCP]
5. This is indeed a very large concern to address your concern. There will be time limits of discussions to be set further on, very small details like this will be discussed after this bill goes in act. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM]
6. May I ask the proposer of this bill another question? It states that in Article 8 Section B. It states that in Article 8 Section B if 1/3 of members quit A parliamentary re-election will be needed. What if the committee only has 3 members? Would this mean if 1 member quit a parliamentary re-election will be needed or do you have a set minimum for Tthe Committee [Aldranster50, MP, RCP]
7. To address to this question. I would like Aldranster50 to refer to Article 2 Section A, it clearly states that the committee should consist of ALL the MP. unless the MP gives a strong reason in rejecting to join the committee. It is required that citizens who are not elected to participate in. Thus, such an important committee will have staff participation, so there is really little reasoning in your concern in the unlikely event of 3 members, while one member leaves dissolving the committee [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM]

Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. JuliusMS 2. Hinwapoon 3. MC_Dunc 4. Minebuilder1223 5. _ezzo 6. _Noodur 7. JuliusMS 8. Rom5419 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aldranster50

AYES: 15 NOES: 2

Motion is therefore affirmative.



Fifth Haven Parliamentary Committee Bill

A

B I L L

TO

Set up a Fifth Haven Committee in the parliament for constant discussion and planning for the Fifth Haven World

Be it enacted by the president of the city-state of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. Purpose Of Bill

- a. Set up Committees in the parliament dedicated to the discussion and approval of fifth haven related topics or bills.
- b. This committee is expected to make fifth haven decision making more efficient and further improve the progress of this server wide programme.
- c. Be the first committee to be set up in the parliament so to try out the possibility of having bills that are specific to be voted by respective committees

2. Formation of the committee

- a. The Committee should consists all of the current elected MP's. They are required to oversee this committee as it contains decisions that affect the server as whole.
- b. The Committee must have a President who will also take up the role of the speaker. The president will be elected in a future parliament session. The president must be a MP in the current parliamentary term.
- c. The Committee should also consist of citizens or above who will be nominated and voted in the coming parliamentary term.
 1. The player must be approved by the senate in order to join.
 2. The Committee should have a composition of $\frac{2}{3}$ MPs, $\frac{1}{3}$ elected citizens+.

3. Proposed Time and Possible venue for meetings

- a. This meeting should take place 45 minutes before the parliament session of that very day. Which means it will take the same schedule as the parliament.
- b. The meeting is proposed to be inside the parliament chamber in the laboratory.
- c. Should there be no announcements or proposals, the meeting of the committee will be called off.

4. Duties of Electeds

- a. MPs willing to join this committee should perform the following actions:
 - i. Take up roles of developing fifth haven eg. Road design, Metro planning, city greenery ...etc



- ii. Propose different plans or layouts or land use planning for 5h
- iii. Discuss about the proposals made by different MPs
- iv. Keep check of the progress of different discussions
- v. Raise out proposals requested by citizens
- vi. Finalize plans and layouts which will need to be sent to the senate for further decision
- vii. Vote for bills that can be decided in the council

5. Duties of Citizens

- a. Citizens joining the meeting should perform the following actions:
 - i. Raise out questions or suggestions regarding the current bill.
 - ii. Make proposals to MPs for them to raise out as a bill, in which the MP decides whether to raise up in the meeting.
 - iii. Vote for bills that can be decided in the council.

6. Voting System

- a. The Voting system will be as same as the parliament
 - i. Elected votes will count as 2
 - ii. Citizen votes will count as 1

7. Regarding parliamentary change of term

- a. Due to the current legislation, the parliament will change terms every few months, in order to keep stability of this committee, the members of the committees will only change every two terms of the parliament.
- b. In case of MPs being elected out of the new parliamentary term, they will be considered as citizen electeds.
- c. New MPs will be automatically eligible for this committee, it is their sole decision whether to join this very committee or not.

8. Regarding Re-appointment of a withdrawal of a committee member.

- a. A new committee member will be appointed by the senate after the withdrawal of a current committee member.
- b. In the unlikely event of a loss of $\frac{1}{3}$ committee members at once, a parliamentary re-election will be required.

9. Short Title

- a. This bill shall be cited as the 5H Committee Act, 2017

10. Implementation

- a. This act will go into effect at the next general election after it receives approval from the president.



17Q3-6.007

Bill Name:

Pangaea Railway Permit Bill

Tabled By:

MC_Dunc, MP, DEM

First Reading Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
1. MC_Dunc 2. Aldranster50 3. _ezzo 4. Hinwapoon	1. _Noodur 2. CackleFresh

AYES: 8 NOES: 4

Motion is therefore resolved in the second reading.

Debate:

1. Pangaea railways have been a concurring problem of unregulated builds. Therefore this party wishes to implement a permit system to keep pangaea railways in order and not cause inconvenience to people.[Opening Speech]
2. HGP's stance on this is affirmative. This system will allow railways to be a lot more organized. I'd like to thank MC_Dunc for bringing this bill up.[_Noodur, MP, HGP]
3. Now i believe that this system MC_Dunc may be too harsh towards players on survival. Survival is a world where players enjoy more freedoms to build than other parts of the server, much like creative. Therefore i believe that the permit system may be too harsh on players who wish to build what they want with the materials that they earn through their hard work. [minebuilder1223, MP, PFG]
4. Now about the honorable PM's comment, there has been cases about PG rail here, as a moderator I agree that this can be strict, but at the same time. This would greatly simplify

WolvHaven Minecraft Server:

Copyright © 2017 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.



my workload and decrease, as long as the permit system strives to be fair, it'll be fairly okay [_ezzo, MP, NCP]

5. First, in response to the honorable Prime Minister's concern. I agree that this server provides mediums for citizens to build what they want in different worlds. To be short, my bill has a few main ideas, First: To keep everything in line with the rules. Second: To make sure the quality of the builds be enforced. Third: regulate the earnings of those who wish to build railways for their own convenience. After all, time is money. The application fee honestly can be debatable but for the permit itself, it is essential for it to pass, as it regulates buildings such as railways, as for the application fee, if one thinks that it's harsh. I would say, it adds realism and makes sense for processing and assessing the build. After all we are getting numerous complaint regarding pangaea railway builds. This would make sure people do things in line. Creative is good but it can't be too wild.[MC_Dunc, MP, DEM]
6. I would be inclined to support this bill if the fee for the Permit was reduced and that i can be sure that the bill doesn't cause any limitations to what can be built in survival [minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG]
7. That i fully agree now that the fee should be lowered. However, I would like to remind that the permit will be issued by staff, so I have faith that staff will not impose a lot of restrictions, unless the build is very unrealistic or it affects other serverly. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM]
8. So the honourable member can honestly pledge that the fee for a permit will be lowered and no limitations will be imposed on players for survival? [minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG]
9. I would like to pledge that, the fee will be discussed futher to be lowered for the sake of helping growing survival players. As for no limitation, that is staff's call, one does not have the authority to control how the staff assess the issue of permit[MC_Dunc, MP, DEM]



Second Reading Division:.

A Y E S	N O E S
<ul style="list-style-type: none">1. MC_Dunc2. Hinwapoon3. Rom54194. _Noodur5. Minebuilder12236. JuliusMS7. CackleFresh	

AYES: 13 NOES: 0

Motion is therefore resolved in affirmative.



Pangaea Railway Permit Bill

A
B I L L
TO

Issue permits for players who wishes to build a Pangaea railway

Be it enacted by the president of the city-state of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. Purpose of Bill

- a. Regulating railway related builds in pangaea, allowing more clear identification of railways
- b. Allowing people only with permits to build railways in pangaea
- c. Re-assess the current permits to determine if the current build in pangaea is allowed

2. Application of Permit

- a. Applicants must apply to the general staff with a full plan of their proposed railway.
- b. It is the staff's discretion to issue the permit
- c. Staff reserve all rights for their decision
- d. Current Railway owners **MUST** apply for the permit for their current railway

3. Permit Details

- a. The permit allows the player to build the railway stated in the permit according to the plan
- b. The player must put a sign visible in the railway perimeter with the reference number of the bill issued by a member of staff.
- c. The permit must be renewed per three months (to be discussed)
- d. The first time registration fee will be ranging from 250 wolvhaven dollars to 3000 wolvhaven dollars depending on the railway size. The permit can be renewed with a fee 50% of the original permit. Eg: If the original fee was 1000 WHD, it will be charged 500 dollars per renewal.
- e. If the player owns multiple builds, **ALL** builds need to apply for a permit. A permit is required to apply for each railway.
- f. The permit can be paid in installments for player who need financial support

4. Regarding existing builds

- a. Existing build must apply for a new permit



- b. Existing build owners must reach out to staff two months after this bill is passed for a permit.
- c. Existing build owners must place proof of new permit on the original build on a visible location.

5. Punishments for Builds without permit

- a. The owner of the build will be fined 150 wolvhaven dollars every week without a permit for EACH RAILWAY.
- b. If the owner of the build does not place a sign or any proof of a permit visible at the perimeter of the railway, he or she will be fined 100 wolvhaven dollars for every instance
- c. If the owner of the build does not apply for a permit after constant reminder of staff, staff may seal off the railway or even remove the build.
- d. If the player is inactive, the railway may be sealed off after staff discretion.
- e. If the player can provide a reason regarding not paying the permit, the fines imposed may be negotiable.
- f. Citizens who have a record of not applying permits in time or failing to show proof of permit (for serious offenders after staff discussion) will be temporarily banned or permanently banned from applying a permit.
- g. If the citizen has been denied applying a permit permanently, staff have the right of removing the build.
- h. If the build is without permit for 2 months or more, staff will seal off the railway
- i. Staff will reserve the final decision regarding punishments

6. Short Title

- a. This bill can be cited as Pangaea Railway Permit Bill

7. Implementation

- a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president.