

---

## Saturday, 18 November 2017 Hansard

---

Session 3, Quarter 4 of 2017  
18 November 2017

---

### Bills tabled:

<b>Bill Name</b> Proposer	<b>Bill No</b>	<b>Stage of Consideration</b>	<b>Outcome</b> (Ayes/Noes)
<b>Copyright Bill</b> JuliusMS, MP, SGNP	17405	First Reading	Adjourned
<b>Multiple Channels Bill</b> VernCow, MP, DEM	17406	Second Reading	9/0 Passed
<b>Fifth Haven Advisory Parliamentary Committee Bill</b> Mc_Dunc, MP, DEM	17408	Second Reading	0/6 Negated
<b>Architect Hub Bill</b> Mc_Dunc, MP, DEM	17409	Second Reading	4/6 Negated

### Speaker of Parliament:

1. Ninjabob1797

**Original report written by:**  
Hinwapoon  
\_AngelKevin\_  
**18 November 2017**

---

## 17405

---

Bill Name:

Copyright Bill

Tabled By:

JuliusMS, MP, SGNP

Debate:

1. [Opening Speech] For years, we haven't only struggled with plagiarism internationally, but also domestically. People who have fallen victim to plagiarism on the server have now had enough of it. This bill suggests new rules for plagiarism, as there currently are no rules for it.
2. [MC\_Dunc, MP, DEM] Thank You Mr Speaker. The opposition does not support this bill due to its flaws in the bill right now. The bill to be honest, lacks detail severely. For example, the bill did not mention any consequences or punishments to be dished out after the player commits a crime stated in the copyright act. Such a controversial bill should contain more detail. Therefore our view on this bill is that we reject it in the current way it is now.
3. [JuliusMS, MP, SGNP] Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What MC\_dunc said is completely right. It is not finished. Also, why should we waste our time on this bill again when MC\_Dunc already said this last time?
4. [VernCow, MP, DEM] One more thing I would like to point out about the Copyright bill is that it adds a lot more workload to the staff members of the WolvHaven server. Not only do staff have to monitor every single conversation going on the server, which is a very difficult, if not impossible task but also its very complicated for staff to understand which builds are "copyable" and which are not.
5. [autobus22, MP, HGP] I am not going into the poor wording and/or detail in the bill that has been clear. But something that should be noted is that this bills contents are dangerous under any circumstance. For instance, we should look at how many times in the past people designed practically the same thing at the same time. There is nothing that can be done to exclude such situations from this bill or to give accurate picture of it

---

**WolvHaven Minecraft Server:**

Copyright © 2017 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.

the other way around to make sure cases like that aren't punished. That is too grave a price to pay for a sense of security that doesn't actually increase security in such a way

6. [SilverWolv, Independent] Thank you Mr Speaker. I believe the intentions of this bill is to stop people from going to other's town and copy/pasting stuff directly from there and making minor modification to the structure and then claiming it their own. It obviously is not fair to the original creator for instance if he/she is selling the design, only to have it copy and pasted by someone else in their town. It is just a loss of revenue and doesn't contribute to the economy, where movement of money is important. I believe that with further discussion and amendment, this bill is something that can be implemented. It is because it just isn't nice to copy someone else's stuff and put claim it as yours. COUGH COUGH. E\_\_\_\_. Let's follow the values that we hold to here as well, and that starts with having the basic courtesy of crediting the original designers of the stuff.
7. [autobus22, MP, HGP] My friend, has made a valid point, but that doesn't make the bill in it's current form have fatal downsides. A system where one could for instance sue the other person in the extremely rare case this happened within the server (As the bill doesn't handle out of server plagiarism) would be more effect and would largely avoid the issue of just happened to be similar at the same time. Because only persistent recurrent cases would be realistically sue-able The proposal is as follows: Rewrite a bill, that does this in a way that isn't destructive to innocents. - What was just said, was merely an example of a no destructive method.
8. [SilverWolv, Independent] Thank you Mr Speaker. I don't believe that this will involve harming of any innocent parties as staff do have the final say on whether it was plagiarised or not. For instance, We should not be granting protection to builds that were made from a online tutorial, or general common designs. But rather, more original, ornate and unique creations within the server and/or the community. There has been a spate between 2 members regarding a plane that was made in the server, where the individual just copied and pasted the plane and stuck his own branding on it which is not entirely desirable. I move that further clauses be added into the bill to properly define a case of infringement as best as possible and that it properly defined what should and shouldn't get protection.

## 9. Debate Adjourned

Copyright Bill

A

**B I L L**

TO

Prevent people from copying other people's buildings without permission.

**B**e it enacted by the president of the federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

**1. Proposed changes:**

- a. A person taking inspiration from another person's creation must ask for permission from the other player
  - i. The other player must accept the request
- b. People who were asked for permission to take inspiration are recommended to take a screenshot of the request.
  - i. This is in the interest of security
- c. The president will determine whether a player is infringing on the copyright of another player

**2. Reasons for changes:**

- a. Stop people from copying/plagiarising other people's builds
  - i. The act of plagiarism is rude and is not creative
- b. Making people build more diverse and creative builds, as it gives the city more character.

**3. Short title**

- a. This act may be cited as the Copyright Act, 2017

**4. Implementation**

- a. This act will go into effect after it receives approval from the President.

## 17406

---

### Bill Name:

Multiple Chat Channels Bill

### Tabled By:

VernCow, MP, DEM

### Debate:

1. [VernCow, MP, DEM] Dear everyone, during all parliamentary sessions, 1 thing for sure to happen, are 3rd party comments or arguments that are not supposed to happen due to parliamentary rules. The conversation before this bill's debate started have just proved my point. As such, more channels means that not only non-parliament member electives can resume their communication and their discussion outside of parliament without being viewed as disorder and parliament session members can argue all they want in a different channel. Effectively reducing the workload of the speaker by preventing him from saying "order order order" All jokes aside, I do believe that this bill, when approved will bring major positive changes to the server and I hope you consider carefully on this bill.
2. [SilverWolv, Independent] Thank you Mr Speaker. First off, the idea for this bill is good but there are some issues, firstly, are the plugins compatible with discordSRV? I believe that the #server chat channel in the discord is an amazing tool that helps our clerks be more efficient, as it gives them the ability to copy and paste the text from the server easily into the hansard as compared to having to rapidly type out words in the document previously which really stressed some of them out, making their jobs difficult. Secondly, I believe that the compatibility is also of utmost importance, because our parliament should be transparent, people should be given the option to see the debate live as it happens from discord, rather than wait for the hansard to be released, and the hansard for the previous session hasn't been released yet either due to people being busy in real life. May I also comment that to install a plugin just for this is a bit of a drastic course of action for a server of this size. I believe that we should only implement this when we see fit. Therefore, I'd like to suggest that the bill be amended to have the system implemented when the time calls for it, as server resources are currently rather limited due to the 1.12 bug as well.

---

### WolvHaven Minecraft Server:

Copyright © 2017 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.

3. [autobus22, MP, HGP] The thing that seems to be forgotten, is that we already have the solution. Just that the information isn't available widely and that since 1.11 the configuration was reset and it has to be re-configured. We have the /party chat plug-in. If people want to talk in group while parliament is ongoing, why not refer them to use the /party chat? We have already have it. It solves the issue of parliament and chat mingling in rare occasions and it keeps the parliament in the main channel so it still shows up in discord.
4. [VernCow, MP, DEM] Thank you mr speaker Admittedly, I have not thought about whether the plugins I've suggested would be compatible with DiscordSRV or not. However, I've checked and one plugin I've suggested - VentureChat is DiscordSRV compatible. As for what my friend just said about it "solving the issue of parliament and chat mingling in rare occasions". The difference between a party chat and an actual channel is that you can't be in more than 1 party at once but you can be in multiple channels at once. In that case.. ask yourself.. why do we not have parliament sessions in a party chat instead of the server chat? Last but not least.. I would like to share a link on the internet about VentureChat <https://github.com/Scarsz/DiscordSRV/wiki/Installation> This page clearly says that VentureChat is 100% compatible with DiscordSRV and even have tutorials on installing it
5. [autobus22, MP, HGP] The crossbench agrees with their friend venture chat will be the best option but would like to remind said friend that the suggestion I made was people NOT in parliament if they want to talk in groups could use /party (And already can). While in a party, the main chat is still visible, but when the main chat can't see what the party says except if they are a member. This could be a simpler, yet slightly more tedious for member solution on the short term where Venture is something to look at for the long term. But to note again,is something to look in more detail.
6. [VernCow, MP, DEM] Again.. I think that these "channels" should act and work differently than actual parties. How channels should work is that everyone can view it.. and with permission, you can speak in the said channel, which is quite different from how party works. Also again, I think my friend again FAILS to understand that you can't join more than 1 party at once, and that simply joining a channel is better than having to invite everyone else who is not part of that organisation
7. [SilverWolv, Independent] Thank you mr speaker. I'd like to talk about sth that is of interest to the house and that is time. Time like you've never seen before, it only moves forward, not backwards and it is starkly amazingly interesting. May we revert the time back so that we can go back in time. Anyways as for this bill that we speak of, the member FAILS to understand that the member auto has mentioned, what we want is that

the implementation be delayed till we see fit. so that it is always there on the shelf ready for us to implement it when needed, otherwise would be talking about implementing it immediately. Look at who is in the server now that is disrupting parliament - absolutely nobody, therefore to implement it immediately is unnecessary. Also the time we have wasted here is rather appallingly amazing oh the shade and jokes in this light hearted parliament very fancy and amazing much uniquely WolvHaven. I'd therefore like to take the remaining 2 mins of my time to point out that the bill is well intended but implementation should be delayed. Please make this amendment

8. [VernCow, MP, DEM] I agree.

Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <b>MC_Dunc</b></li><li>2. <b>VernCow</b></li><li>3. GukkyGukz</li><li>4. <b>Autobus22</b></li><li>5. Hinwapoon</li><li>6. SilverWolv</li></ol>	

**AYES: 9 NOES: 0**

**Motion is therefore resolved in the affirmative.**

## Multiple Chat Channels Bill

A

# BILL

TO

Allow non-parliamentary representatives to communicate while a parliament session is going on.

**B**e it enacted by the president of the federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

### **1. Purpose of Bill**

- a. Create chat channels via plugins BetterChat or VentureChat (both require testing to ensure smooth process)
- b. Allow Non Parliamentary attendees to chat in a different text channel inside the server.
- c. Prevention of Disruption of the parliamentary chat, reducing the workload of speaker

### **2. Suggested Plugins**

- a. VentureChat Link: <https://www.spigotmc.org/resources/venturechat.771/>
- b. BetterChat Link:  
<http://oxidemod.org/plugins/better-chat.979/>

### **3. Channels to be created:**

- a. Parliamentary Channel
- b. General Chat Channel
- c. Multiple Project Channels

### **4. Short Title**

- a. This bill shall be cited as Multi Chat Channel Act, 2017

### **5. Implementation**

- a. This act will go into effect when deemed appropriate by the president<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Amendment was made to change the implementation from immediately after approval to deemed appropriate

---

## 17408

---

### Bill Name:

Fifth Haven Advisory Parliamentary Committee Bill

### Tabled By:

MC\_Dunc, MP, DEM

### Debate:

1. [MC\_Dunc, Mp, DEM] This bill is a complete makeover of the last bill. tldr, this bill is to form a non binding committee and a monitoring committee, Hopefully this will pass the senate this time.
2. [Autobus22, MP, HGP] A bill that has come back from being seemingly dead, removing all factual requirements making them advisories, where have we heard the argument of that not being valid before. I think my friend the proposer has a good idea why this won't work based on that
3. [SilverWolv, Independent] I agree with what my honorable friend has just said. This isn't a complete rewrite or make over. It's just stamping the word "advisory" on it and claiming it as rewritten or overhauled. It still does not address the senate's concerns regarding the bill in the first place if the opinions of the senate were taken seriously. Why is it that all members of the committee MUST be involved in the planning of 5H in one way or another? It still does not address the situation where it opens the door to people who have no clue about proper planning. I move that the bill be rejected because it clearly has not been looked into and the edits made are just some plaster instant fix-it solution that still presents the loopholes that caused it to be rejected by the senate in the first place.
4. [MC\_Dunc, MP, DEM] May the member clarify what he meant by why is it all members of the committee must be involved in the planning of 5h.
5. [SilverWolv, Independent] "Duties of Electeds MPs willing to join this committee should perform the following actions:" isn't this suggesting that one must be involved in one of the following activities? and in the activities listed, aren't all of them related to the planning of the 5H world? Also having the meeting bi weekly seems a bit too hardcore to

---

### WolvHaven Minecraft Server:

Copyright © 2017 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.

be honest while it is all in all advisory. i still presents the loophole for members who just want to have trains all over the place to influence 5H planning.

6. [MC\_Dunc, MP, DEM] Mr Speaker, I would like to withdraw this bill for more extensive revision. (Point of Order)
7. [SilverWolv, Independent] Thank you mr speaker. I believe revising the bill will have no effect. the basic fundamental ideas are still there. In fact I also believe that a 5h committee will be a waste of time because most of the discussion alr takes place in the #fifth-haven discord channel and staff have final say on what gets and does not get implemented.
8. [MC\_Dunc, MP, DEM] Mr Speaker, I wish to withdraw the bill due to more extensive revision of the bill if it is socially accepted or does it have social compatibility. This should be allowed as mentioned from my honorable friend Autobus. (Point of Order)
9. [SilverWolv, Independent] I believe the primary function of the committee should be to research and advice based on the findings and not make bills to be proposed to parliament that makes sth legally binding let's not restrict our creativity or even risk the chances of a properly planned city because it is with this reason the green spaces bill got shot down as well this bill only opens a gateway for more of such bills to be proposed.

Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. SilverWolv</li> <li>2. Hinwapoon</li> <li>3. <b>Sambrose</b></li> <li>4. <b>Autobus22</b></li> </ol>

**AYES: 0 NOES: 6**

**Motion is therefore negated.**

## Fifth Haven Advisory Parliamentary Committee Bill

A  
**B I L L**  
TO

Set up a Fifth Haven Advisory Committee in the parliament for constant discussion and planning for the Fifth Haven World

**B**e it enacted by the president of the federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

### **1. Purpose Of Bill**

- a. Set up non-binding committees in the parliament dedicated to the discussion fifth haven related topics or bills.
- b. This committee is expected to make fifth haven decision making more efficient and further improve the progress of this server wide programme.
- c. Be the first committee to be set up in the parliament so to try out the possibility of having bills being suggested or proposed on specific topics by the corresponding committee.

### **2. Formation of the committee**

- a. The Committee should consists all of the current elected MP's and the architects of the server. They are required to oversee this committee as it contains decisions that affect the server as whole.
- b. The Committee must have a President who will also take up the role of the speaker. The president will be elected in a future parliament session. The president must be a MP in the current parliamentary term.

### **3. Proposed Time and Possible venue for meetings**

- a. This meeting should take place on weeks that parliament session is not announced. Which means the meeting will also be on a bi-we
- b. The meeting is proposed to be inside the parliament chamber in the laboratory.
- c. Should there be no announcements or proposals, the meeting of the committee will be called off.

### **4. Duties of Electeds**

- a. MPs willing to join this committee should perform the following actions:
  - i. Take up roles of developing fifth haven eg. Road design, Metro planning, city greenery etc.
  - ii. Propose different plans or layouts or land use planning for 5h
  - iii. Discuss about the proposals made by different MPs

- iv. Keep check of the progress of different proposals.
- v. Finalize plans and layouts which will need to be sent to the parliament for further decision
- vi. Make non-binding decisions or proposals to be sent to the parliament.

**5. Duties of Citizens**

- a. Citizens may join the committee when a public hearing is announced. They may express their views for the committee to take into consideration.

**6. Flow of Proposals**

- a. Bills proposed by the committee will be suggested to the parliament under the name of the 5h Planning Committee, then follow parliamentary procedure to be sent to the senate for review.

**7. Regarding parliamentary change of term**

- a. The members of the committees will change every term of the parliament.
- b. In case of MPs being elected out of the new parliamentary term, they will be automatically replaced by new MPs
- c. New MPs will be automatically eligible for this committee, it is their sole decision whether to join this very committee or not.

**8. Regarding Re-appointment of a withdrawal of a committee member.**

- a. A new committee member will be appointed by the senate after the withdrawal of a current committee member.
- b. In the unlikely event of a loss of ⅓ committee members at once, a parliamentary re-election will be required.

**9. Short Title**

- a. This bill shall be cited as the 5H Committee Bill

**10. Implementation**

- a. This act will go into effect at the next general election after it receives approval from the president. express their views for the committee to take into consideration

---

## 17409

---

### Bill Name:

Architect Hub Bill

### Tabled By:

MC\_Dunc, MP, DEM

### Debate:

1. [MC\_Dunc, MP, DEM] No, as this bill is already self explanatory
2. [autobus22, MP, HGP] This bill is useless. The communicational measures to do exactly this are already available via party and/or discord private discussions. The only part that could make any sense is doing something similar (based on that), the Gardellia noticeboard for active major projects. This makes sure people know who to engage with and will encourage the engagement just as well.
3. [SilverWolv, Independent] The leader of the opposition surely does give us a good impression of the details of the bill given how he just stated that the title is self explanatory. Given the amount of clauses in the bill it seems more than just self explanatory. Now, I do not agree with the part where it requires a google form for architects to apply. That's honestly a waste of time. We should utilize more faster means of communication, such as discord DM. I'm sorry. I'd like to retract what i said about the google form. But anyways, I believe the wording of the duties of the architect committee is very confusing and would love if the Leader of the Opposition could clarify its meaning.
4. [MC\_Dunc, MP, DEM] Thank you mister speaker, may the honourable member opposite please clarify which wording he may wish I could clarify?
5. [SilverWolv, Independent] "Put up projects that are you are assigned as the project manager onto the wiki page and announce it in the discord channel" "Accept or deny the application of the forms with valid reason" "Coordinate other architects on the project" ". Duties of Architects Participate actively in projects" "Apply for projects" "Report constantly to the project manager" Please.
6. [MC\_Dunc, MP, DEM] Alright, for the first enquiry, Architect Committee members responsible for the project should put their projects on the current architect hub wiki page

---

### WolvHaven Minecraft Server:

Copyright © 2017 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.

and announce it in a architect discord channel or server to notify architects that there is a pending project. Second one, Accept or deny the application of the forms with valid reason, the architect committee members will receive google forms from applied architects, they will then review if the architect has suitable skills and if they have a large workload to be compatible with the job. Third one, Coordinate other architects on the project, This duty is that architect committee members for a certain project should assign different parts of the project to the corresponding architects who have applied, say for example, for a metro line extension project, some architects should be responsible for tunnel drilling, some architects should be responsible for laying tracks, some architects should be responsible for platform division. tldr, division of labour. Fourth one, as for duties of architects, The architects should ACTIVELY participate in projects raised by the project leaders from the architect committee, they should also report their progress in a log book way to inform the project leader, such that an estimated progress can be formulated. I hope that addresses your questions.

7. [SilverWolv, Independent] Thank you. The wordings of the bill have led me to understand. A: It MAY be in the form of a google forms and that google forms WILL be used later. B: That architects are forced to apply for projects since its their "duty". Also I believe that this complicates an otherwise rather simple method of communication. The current architect hub is pretty sufficient.
8. [MC\_Dunc, MP, DEM] First off, I would like to remind the member that this bill isn't a bill that forces architects to apply. In this bill, I suggested that architects should participate actively, this is merely a suggestion. Also, this bill was originally created to provide more transparent in infrastructure projects in Wolvhaven. Also to provide a way architects to know about the projects and to actively help with it, in this way, the wolvhaven server will be benefitted, when more manpower is acquired to make projects completed quicker. In the end, it just lets architects to have a larger participation rate in building wolvhaven.
9. [SilverWolv, Independent] May I remind the Leader of the Opposition. That his bill currently only restrict the proposal of newer projects to members of the archi committee? Also it says suggested method of implementation, so what is the alternative? The wording is important, as staff will be following what is stated in the bill when it is implemented. One therefore can't blame staff if they don't follow what is intended, because it is poorly communicated in the document. The current architect hub is good enough what we should be doing however is forcing all projects to be posted there instead.
10. [MC\_Dunc, MP, DEM] Mr Speaker, I would like to make a few amendments to the bill first being in section 1b, changing from allowing architect committee to architect

committee and the staff team. Secondly, I would like to remove the clause regarding the google form. Lastly, under section 3, : I would like to add a clause my honorable friend just mentioned, To add all projects concurrent or planned in the architect hub wiki page.

11. [autobus22, MP, HGP] that doesn't fix the bill's unclarity if this bill wants to have any reasonable chance it should be completely rewritten with clauses and clarification for all possible and plausible reasonable conflicts this can run into. That isn't even to go into the fact it's been quite clear that the bill is useless based on the presented arguments. The crossbench has no inclination to even think about voting aye based on that. And the crossbench advices everyone to consider this as well.

Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <b>VernCow</b></li><li>2. <b>MC_Dunc</b></li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Hinwapoon</li><li>2. <b>Sambrose</b></li><li>3. SilverWolv</li><li>4. <b>autobus22</b></li></ol>

**AYES: 4 NOES: 6**

**Motion is therefore negated.**

## Architect Hub Bill

A

# B I L L

TO

Create a system where architects can know what infrastructure projects planned or are already being built by other architects. Such that they can participate in it.

**B**e it enacted by the president of the federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

### 1. Purpose of the bill

- a. Set up a discord channel within the Wolvhaven server discord and/or a wiki page that puts up all the infrastructure projects that are planned or will be built.
- b. Allow Architect Committee and the staff team to recruit architects to help them in infrastructure projects<sup>2</sup>
- c. Allows more active participation of architects in building Wolvhaven's new projects

### 2. Suggested Methods of the architect hub implementation

- a. Set up a discord channel within the Wolvhaven discord channel, adds an architect rank, then allows that channel to be accessed only by architects or above.
- b. ~~Set up google forms for application by the architect committee members or staff team responsible for the architects to apply~~<sup>3</sup>
- c. Set up a wiki page where all the projects are clearly visible for the public and especially architects.

### 3. Duties of the Architect Committee

- a. Put up projects that are you are assigned as the project manager onto the wiki page and announce it in the discord channel
- b. Set up a google form for architects to apply
- c. Accept or deny the application of the forms with valid reason
- d. Moderate the work of the architects
- e. State the progress of work on the wiki page

---

<sup>2</sup> Amendment made to modify clause to setup google forms by the architect committee members and staff team from architect committee members only

<sup>3</sup> Amendment was made to remove clause

- f. Coordinate other architects on the project
- g. To add all projects concurrent or planned in the architect hub wiki page<sup>4</sup>

#### **4. Duties of Architects**

- a. Participate actively in projects
- b. Apply for projects
- c. Report constantly to the project manager

#### **5. Short Title**

- a. This bill shall be cited as ArchiHub Bill

#### **6. Implementation**

- a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president.

---

<sup>4</sup> Amendment made to add clause to make it mandatory to add all projects to the architect hub wiki page