

---

## Saturday, 16 December Hansard

---

Session 4, Quarter 4 of 2017  
16 December 2017

---

### Bills tabled:

<b>Bill Name</b> Proposer	<b>Bill No</b>	<b>Stage of Consideration</b>	<b>Outcome</b> (Ayes/Noes)
<b>Mentionable List Revision Bill</b> Minebuilder1223, MP, Prime Minister, PFG	17410	Second Reading	14/0 Passed
<b>Permanent Residency Bill</b> ROM5419, MP, NAT	17411	First Reading	Adjourned
<b>Wiki staffs bill</b> Hinwapoon, NCP	17412	First Reading	Adjourned
<b>Metro Line 9 Amendment bill</b> XtremeCoder, Independent	17413	First Reading	Adjourned
<b>Parliament Time change bill</b> XtremeCoder, Independent	17414	First Reading	Adjourned

### Speaker of Parliament:

1. Grass\_Jelly (Formerly Ninjabob1797)

**Original report written by:**  
Hinwapoon  
Grass\_Jelly (Formerly Ninjabob1797)  
Silverwolv  
\_AngelKevin\_  
**16 December 2017**

---

# 17410

---

**Bill Name:**

Mentionable List Revision Bill

**Tabled By:**

Minebuilder1223, MP, Prime Minister, PFG

**Debate:**

1. [minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG] Thank you Mr Speaker, I just want to say that this bill will benefit the whole of wolvhaven. This bill has been devised with the intention of expanding the rights of the people of wolvhaven without compromising the safety of the server and this party believes that it is in everyone's best interests to vote in favour of this bill

**Division:**

A Y E S	N O E S
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. ChickenJoshbwak</li><li>2. <b>JuliusMS</b></li><li>3. <b>Minebuilder1223</b></li><li>4. <b>Number_101</b></li><li>5. <b>Rom5419</b></li><li>6. TheK9Trotter2401</li><li>7. <b>MC_Dunc</b></li><li>8. <b>olovid</b></li></ol>	

**AYES: 14 NOES: 0****Motion is therefore resolved in the affirmative.**

Mentionable List Revision Bill

A  
**B I L L**  
TO

Remove the mentionable list and replace it with a new set of strict rules

**B**e it enacted by the president of the federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

**1. Removal of the Mentionable List**

- a. The current mentionable list which provides a list of mentionable servers on the WolvHaven server chat and prohibits any other server names being spoken will be removed.
- b. All minecraft servers will therefore be mentionable

**2. New laws regarding the context and way in which servers are mentioned**

- a. The current advertisement laws will remain unchanged.
- b. Servers can only be mentioned if they are to provide context or clarity to a conversation such as if an event has happened regarding a foreign server.
- c. Any endorsement of a server with the server name mentioned will be considered as advertising and will be treated accordingly by staff.
- d. Servers can only be mentioned in moderation, if the same or multiple servers are mentioned consistently within chat, it will be considered as advertising and treated accordingly by staff.

**3. Extent**

- a. This act extends to WolvHaven City, Gardellia, Pangea, Sandbox and Laboratory.

**4. Commencement**

- a. All sections will come into force on the day this act is passed.

**5. Short Title**

- a. This act may be cited as the Mentionable List Revision Act 2017

---

# 17411

---

## Bill Name:

Permanent Residency Bill

## Tabled By:

ROM5419, MP, NAT

## Debate:

1. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] thank you honourable speaker. On behalf of the Opposition coalition and the Senators who have initially suggested the introduction of a Permanent Resident rank earlier this year to resolve the shortcomings of the current tourist-citizen binary immigration system; the NAT would like to formally submit our pre-election promise for the implementation of this new rank to the members of Parliament for review, debate, and implementation as this bill is lengthy and touches on a very sensitive aspect of the server, this MP would recommend that the ensuing debate on this bill be as comprehensive and precise as possible such that, ideally, all parties may agree on a smooth transition to a more complex player promotion system to further secure our borders. if possible, this bill may require more than 2 readings. Any relevant feedback is appreciated, full opening speech on forum. Thanks.
2. [minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG] Thank you mr speaker after reading through this bill I agree on many of the points and overall i support, as does the government, this bill to be introduced into the ranking system but i do have some concerns especially regarding the fact that citizens will the granted donator rank privileges can the member opposite please assure that this will not affect the amount of people willing to donate
3. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] thank you honourable speaker. Firstly, by "citizens will the granted donor rank..." did the prime minister mean that only citizens (higher than PR under the proposed changes) will get donor perks such that PRs who donated will not get their perks? Secondly yes, the donor perks question was a tough one when drafting the bill. the initial assumption was that the people most likely to donate are those that are already well entrenched within the community and thus should almost definitely have citizen rank already also partly due to this MP's suspicion towards newcomers who donate shortly after first arrival (P2W?) as such this MP would entertain the introduction of an amendment to allow PRs to also get donor perks the question is, is it technically

possible with the current permission system?

4. [TheK9Trotter2401, Independent] Thank you. I think this bill will create a way to sift out citizens and I think it should be implemented.
5. [minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG] Thank you mr speaker, I still cannot see this not causing a decrease in the amount of donations. As from the point of someone with the PR rank there are 2 routes to getting the donator rank perks the paid one and the free one one route is quite obviously going to be the one chosen by a majority of newcomers
6. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] thank you Mr speaker. Firstly could the prime minister elaborate what he meant by the free route to donor? Secondly if the PR rank is to be given donor ranks as well, there is no real impediment really now that this MP has had his tea, this MP now realises that donor could be given like worldedit perks. Hence, this MP would like to introduce an amendment to allow PRs to also obtain donor perks if they donate the details to be given shortly later
7. [minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG] Thank you mr speaker I was under the assumption that under this bill PR's would also be able to donate therefore when i mentioned a free route to receiving the perks given by the donator rank, i was referring to the route of simply obtaining citizen I would also like the MP opposite to answer this, Under this bill, what would be the difference between donor and citizen ranks?
8. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] thank you Mr speaker. Firstly the citizen rank remains unchanged. Only the criteria for obtaining citizen. the difference between donor and citizen ranks remains the same as now. Donor applications are as usual. the only problem is how to label a player as donor when they could be either citizen or non-citizen now secondly this MP would like to formally introduce an amendment to repeal clause 2b(v) of the bill. based on the points highlighted earlier.

#### **9. Question put to house and agreed upon**

10. [chickenjoshbwak, Independent] Thank you. I believe, in order to combat the opposition's MP's concern, the donor rank shall be a sub-rank, in order to identify which donors are PRs, Citizens, so on and so forth.
11. [minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG] Thank you mr speaker I would like to thank the member opposite for making these amendments and as such, i have full confidence in this bill's success
12. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] thank you your eminence. Firstly yes, the donor rank can become a sub-rank, whether or not the rest of the senate approves is a different story secondly this MP would like to thank the prime minister for contributing to the debate on this bill

such that no major issues popped up without instant resolution

Permanent Residency Bill 2017/2018

A  
**B I L L**  
TO

Commence the introduction, implementation, and optimisation of the Permanent Residency rank as an interim rank between sojourn and citizenship as part of immigration reform.

**B**e it enacted by the president of the federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

**1. New Permanent Resident (PR) rank for new immigrants (players)**

- a. New players who have passed both the Citizen Test and manual background checks will be promoted to PR rather than be granted citizenship.
- b. The primary purpose of the PR rank is to provide an additional layer of security to protect against new players of malicious intent, and maintain the culture and demographics of the server community; while providing more opportunities for players deemed illegitimate for citizenship to experience the server's features.
- c. The Citizen Test will remain as-is, but will be renamed as the Immigration Clearance Examination (ICE), or simply "Immigration Test".
- d. There will also be changes to the Citizen rank in order to accommodate the proposed additional immigration regulations.

**2. Permanent Resident (PR) rank details**

- a. The new PR rank will retain many original citizenship privileges, including:
  - i. Employment in businesses and as railway drivers
  - ii. Permission to access Sandbox, Gardellia, and Pangaea
  - iii. Permission to build in Pangaea
  - iv. Permission to use stored-value Metro+ cards
  - v. Permission to speak in the WolvHaven Discord
  - vi. Permission to own property in the City
- b. However, the following privileges require further promotion to citizenship:
  - i. Ownership of public housing units
  - ii. Eligibility to vote in server elections
  - iii. Eligibility to form or join political parties
  - iv. Permission to apply for Architect rank
  - v. ~~Receiving Donor rank privileges<sup>†</sup>~~

---

<sup>†</sup> Amendment was made to remove clause

- vi. Permission to build in Sandbox and Laboratory
- vii. Permission to apply to build in Gardellia
- viii. Citizen-tier punishment protocols for rule violations
- c. PRs are also subject to:
  - i. Taxation based on net worth
  - ii. Harsher tourist punishment protocols

### **3. Permanent Resident (PR) to Citizen Promotion Criteria**

- a. Any permanent resident shall be granted citizenship by administrators under the following conditions:
  - i. Permanent Residency for at least 1 week, subject to behavioural monitoring reports from peers.
  - ii. The PR must submit to server staff a self-introduction document written in either essay or point form, stating their identity details, their rationale for joining the server, and what they could contribute to the server. The document must be in a language readable by at least one staff member.
  - iii. The PR must go through another (less intensive) round of manual background checks.
  - iv. The PR must have NO bans or active warnings in the past 3 months.
  - v. The monthly citizenship quota has not been exceeded. (ref clause 4a)
- b. A permanent resident shall be blacklisted (permanently refused) from obtaining citizenship if any of the following are met:
  - i. The PR has been (permanently) banned more than once.
  - ii. The PR is an active member of a rival server or cybercrime organisation blacklisted for acts of terrorism against WolvHaven.
- c. Administrators reserve the right to promote PRs to Citizen unconditionally if the PR in question has shown exceptionally benevolent behaviour.
- d. Administrators are also permitted to demote PRs to Tourist if the PR's current behaviour justifies such punishment.

### **4. Changes to the Citizen rank**

- a. There will now be a maximum quota of citizen promotions to reduce the workload of Administrators and maintain the sustainability of the server community. The quota is to be reset every month, and the limit is dynamically determined based on the active player population, current availability of administrators, and the security level/defence readiness of the server.
- b. Citizens that satisfy any of the following conditions will be demoted to PR:
  - i. One unappealable permanent ban
  - ii. Two appealable permanent bans
  - iii. Four temporary bans due to warnings

- iv. Eight imprisonment periods
- v. Convicted of acts of treason (e.g. espionage on behalf of foreign entities)

## **5. Short title**

- a. This act may be cited as the Permanent Residency Act, 2017/2018.

## **6. Implementation**

- a. This act will only go into effect once the following are met:
  - i. Approval from the President,
  - ii. Approval from the incumbent Prime Minister, and
  - iii. Server permissions updated according to the act details.
- b. Clause 4 is only to be enacted once Clauses 1, 2, 3 have been in effect for at least one month and deemed acceptable.
- c. Players promoted to Citizen before this act goes into effect will only be affected by clause 4b.
- d. Tourists who have completed the Citizen Test but have not yet been promoted before this act goes into effect will be promoted to Citizen if eligible.
- e. Tourists who take the Immigration Test after this act goes into effect will be subject to all relevant clauses of this act.
- f. In the event that this act is to be repealed without any equivalent replacement, all current PRs are to be immediately demoted to the Tourist rank.

---

## 17412

---

### Bill Name:

Wiki staffs bill

### Tabled By:

Hinwapoon, MP, NCP

### Debate:

1. [Hinwapoon, MP, NCP] We have admins that can oversee the wiki but they sometimes are busy or can't use their phone to do it fast. Therefore I propose this bill for our wiki can be more secure.
2. [MC\_Dunc, MP, DEM] Thank you mr speaker, Democratiam fully supports this bill as the attacks on wiki are concurring throughout this period it is crucial to have every man to help keeping our wiki neat and tidy
3. [chickenjoshbwak, Independent] Thank you honourable speaker. As an independent, I believe that this act, and what it suggests is an over complicated process. The approval process, the competent wiki staffs etc. is just too unnecessary. If the proposer of this bill is concerned with the safety of our citizens with these wiki spams and wiki scams, then all he should ask is to keep our citizens vigilant.
4. [minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG] Thank you mr speaker, I would like the remind everyone in this house that we have experienced and unprecedented uptick in the amount of spam forum accounts and pages being created recently and i believe that we need to increase efforts to combat these accounts But i am not exactly sure if introducing wiki staff in the way this bill recommends is the best approach

Wiki Staffs Bill

A  
**B I L L**  
TO

Have staff members on the wiki

**B**e it enacted by the president of the federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

**1. Purpose**

- a. The Wiki Staff members are for moderating the wiki and oversees the members editing correctly and controlling spam.

**2. Responsibility and Duties**

- a. As a Wiki staff, they will help moderating the wiki, as there are no staffs in the wiki and there should be people moderating the wiki. These jobs will include watching for defamation and controlling and deleting spam accounts. Need it be and if it be possible, these responsibilities will extend to the website also.

**3. Acceptance Criteria**

- a. Any Citizens + may become a staff in the wiki
- b. the Senate can nominate anybody as a wiki staff member.
- c. If a player become a staff in the wiki, there will be a probationary period of 3 months
- d. If the person has done an exceptional job during the probationary period, he will become competent wiki staff.

**4. Permissions and Warnings**

- a. Wiki Staffs will be trusted with limited permission in the wiki
- b. Former staffs in wiki that have been revoked for their staff in the wiki permission, shall wait 3 months before they appointed by Senate
  - i. The waiting time shall be increase depending on the severity of the offence. Warnings will also be issued depending on severity.

**5. Short Name**

- a. This bill can be referred to as Wiki Staff Member Act, 2017.

**6. Implementation**

- a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president.

---

# 17413

---

## Bill Name:

Metro Line 9 Amendment bill

## Tabled By:

XtremeCoder, Independent

## Debate:

1. [XtremeCode, Independent] The Metro Line 9 is too short and seems to be redundant. Serving as a shuttle between airport and BroadBeach, which is only two stations, it doesn't seem like a legit "Metro Line". This extension will aim to allow everyone to go to different destinations. This extension will aim to allow everyone to go to different destinations from WolvHaven City Quicker.
2. [chickenjoshbwak, Independent] My thanks, Mr speaker. First of all, I believe that this bill is a lousy, pathetic, waste of time for the house as this is just a backdoor for more bills being introduced with foundation as sturdy as putty. If the base argument for this bill to be enacted is that the line is a quick connection from City Hall to Airport, then that is a lie.
3. [\_AngelKevin\_, MP, PFG] Thank you Mr speaker. As the line builder and operator, the reason why I decided to build M9 is that not all lines are very convenient to be connected to City Hall. It is for people who need to head to somewhere from the airport to Westford Island, it is more convenient as well as having a take on a more modern and comfortable style which is what M9 is planned and built upon. Although it may seem short, it may be of some importance towards some who want another airport express.
4. [minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG] Thank you mr speaker, I don't quite see that point in this bill myself It is only meant to be a connection from the airport to the other island and extending it to city hall will basically be the same as M10 already but taking a slightly different route. As for extending it beyond city hall firstly i cannot see how that could easily be done engineering wise knowing it is running past on the east-west axis also I cannot see the need for it to do that at all therefore this bill does not have the government's support

5. [chickenjoshbwak, Independent] Thank you Mr Speaker, I believe that this new plan is not only, redundant, but is also an imminent disaster with the CIE's complex underground system, I question the developer's ability to create such a complex tunnel system in which it bypasses massive tunnels without being thousands of blocks underground. In which, I believe the developer of this line, should this bill pass, should prove its competency by helping FTRANS in providing coverage in Eastern Mechanicsburg by means of constructing the metro line 7 extension.
6. [\_AngelKevin\_, MP, PFG] Thank you Mr Speaker. As previously mentioned in the chamber, having it to go to City Hall is very redundant. The point of the planning with SilverWolv is to first, avoid going to City Hall second, not collide with the CIE. Further extensions will be planned if needed beyond the airport station, meaning the airport station will not be the terminus.
7. [chickenjoshbwak, Independent] Thank you mr speaker may the previous speaker please reiterate his speech specifically his last part "the airport station will not be the terminus"
8. [\_AngelKevin\_, MP, PFG] thank you Mr Speaker. As M7 has an extension, as well as line 6 and 8, 9 will also be extended so that lines 6, 7, 8, 9 will share a terminus meaning that the airport will just be a normal station and not the terminus unlike line 10.
9. [chickenjoshbwak, Independent] Thank you, Mr speaker. If mr kevin's worry is that airport's value as a station will be diminished, and that is why a brand new line shall be made, then I question his logic. Secondly, the reason why lines 6, 7, 8, and 4 share a terminus is because that area of wolvhaven is not covered by means of metro. that's a valid reason for a creation of metro extensions. not the diminished ego of a station for an airport.
10. [VincentLUMCFan, Independent] Thank you mr speaker, I agree with joshy about the redundancy of an extension further on. I feel like the best fit for m9 is to keep it as it is. this is for the convenience of residents living in westford to connect to the airport. further extension to mechanicsburg would be redundant since mechanicsburg is just next to airport area. it is better to connect via roads between the two islands, not to waste resources on another line. Train services are to be case studied more detailedly.
11. [MC\_Dunc, MP, DEM] The Democratiam Party believes the metro line 9 proposal bill is a bill which serves little purpose. It is neither cost effective nor feasible to pull off this project and it is really not needed for this metro line to connect to the airport instead this line should only connect to the metro stations that the airport express or line ten passes through. I am questioning the need for an extension it is not cost effective for this extension to be built as it will take up more precious land and our underground areas are already too jam packed to fit more metro lines hence making it less feasible. Metro isn't

supposed to be a point to point transit option so it's really not needed to make a single line for every place

12. [\_AngelKevin\_, MP, PFG] Thank you once again, Mr speaker. Firstly, line 9 is already an ongoing project and it is already reaching the airport. Secondly, I said that the extension will occur if needed. Thirdly, the last time i checked, from the westford area to the airport, the area underground is not congested and has a lot of space for metros. Plus, line 9 is for convenience. Everyone knows the pain of transfers and waiting. Hence, for convenience, it is there. If we can have a point to point transit system, why not? if you simply dislike line, don't use it. Don't pay for it. Take other lines then. I don't think that it is very expensive and that i am operating it, not the government. Hence i feel like if it is not affecting you, why shoot down the idea? For all you know, you might use it.

Metro Line 9 Route Amendment Bill

A

**B I L L**

T O

Extend the new Metro Line 9

**B**e it enacted by the president of the federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. Extend Metro Line 9
  - a. The route of Metro Line 9 is to be amended as follows:
    - i. Airport
    - ii. BroadBeach
    - iii. Waterloo
    - iv. City Hall
    - v. Government District
    - vi. Soho
    - vii. Parkroyal
2. Reasons for changes
  - a. Line route is too short
  - b. Bring people to Most places quicker straight from the City Hall
  - c. Interchange with all the Metro Lines in 4H
3. Short Title
  - a. This act may be cited as the Metro Line 9 Route Amendment Act, 2017
4. Implementation
  - a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president

---

# 17414

---

## Bill Name:

Parliament Time change bill

## Tabled By:

XtremeCoder, Independent

## Debate:

1. [XtremeCoder, Independent] Currently, it is almost impossible for people that time zones are GMT+4,5,6 to attend parliament because it happens during midnight. With this bill, it allows everyone to be able to experience and attend parliament.
2. [MC\_Dunc, MP, DEM] Thank you mr speaker. I would like to question the time knowledge of the bill proposer. Why can't people in GMT +4,5,6 attend the current parliamentary time slot? Why does the bill proposer mention it as midnight? According to my timezone knowledge, the time for those time zones should be 7-9pm only. Second, this bill did not outline any possible times to accommodate the needs of some people. Lastly, this bill really cannot cater everyone's needs because, time zones are managed in this way. It's really hard to find a time where everyone is available. Therefore, think this bill should not be voted aye as it really serves little or no purpose.
3. [VincentLUMCFan, Independent] Thank you Mr. Speaker. I agree to Dunc's statements. Also, if the times were to be amended, for example, if it were to be earlier, the American players might face problems as they are still sleeping. If it is later, it would be inconvenient for Eastern player such as Malaysia and Singapore. I think this is already the optimum time for all players and the maximum attendance rate for all players
4. **Point of order raised by Kaden303 regarding failure to give the backbench any calls. Rejected as proper parliamentary procedure was not followed when requesting calls**
5. **Debate adjourned**

Parliament New Time Bill

A  
**B I L L**  
TO

Have Two different timings for Parliament that alternate every Two sessions.

**B**e it enacted by the president of the federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. Proposed changes
  - a. The community will think of a time where people from any Timezone can attend at least one session of parliament (In a reasonable time such as Morning, Afternoon, Night but not Midnight.), and a time that the president, SilverWolv, can attend it. If necessary, another speaker will be appointed for the new timed session
  - b. A poll will be conducted by the admins to find out the best possible time to hold the second parliament.
  - c. Both parliament sessions will alternate. This means that if the first session is the current timing, the second will be the new timing, the third the current timing, and so on.
2. Reasons for changes
  - a. Easier for people to have a chance to experience and be present in the WolvHaven Parliament
  - b. Currently, it is almost impossible for people that time zones are GMT+4,5,6 to attend parliament because it happens during midnight.
3. Short title
  - a. This act may be cited as the Parliament Time Changing Act, 2017.
4. Implementation
  - a. This act will go into effect immediately after it has received approval from the president.