
Saturday, 06 January 2018 Hansard

Session 1, Quarter 1 of 2018
06 January 2018

Bills tabled:

Bill Name Proposer	Bill No	Stage of Consideration	Outcome (Ayes/Noes)
WolvHaven Statute Bill WolvHaven Senate	18101	First, Second & Third Reading	14/0

Speaker of Parliament:

1. y0urs_Tru1y

Original report written by:

Hinwapoon
Ninjabob1797
MC_Dunc
AngelKevin
SpaceInvader11
SilverWolv
06/01/2018

18101

Bill Name:

WolvHaven Statute Bill

Tabled By:

WolvHaven Senate

Debate:

1. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] For I/C/2.2, if in the case for veterans is it official warning or kept verbal? Please specify.
2. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Official but for now, we will be a bit more lenient since this is new, it will be alluded later in the penal code
3. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] the Permanent Resident (PR) bill has been discussed in the previous session but has yet to undergo second reading in the event that it passes, will the contents be considered in the rules?
4. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] It will be added once approved by the president
5. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] In regards with the [Helper] rank, Mr, Speaker, is there anything regarding helper probation, or anything about the semi staff job title as it is not stated in the document that helper rank differs with staff ranks, such as moderator and how its selection criteria and probation regarded issues
6. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] That will be included in a later part regarding staff rules we will dedicate one entire section to the "Helper Act"
7. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] Thank you Mr speaker. Shouldn't the screenshot be uncropped AND unedited?
8. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Good point. Any disagreements?
9. **Question put to house and agreed on (Amendment to uncropped & unedited screenshot)**
10. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] With regard to II/A/7.2, what about citizen test applicants who are unable to get discord accounts due to internet censorship or age restrictions or boycotts or whatever reason. Will there be provisions for alternative methods of submission because using Discord, a third party chat app of unpredictable reliability.
11. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Good question. Perhaps one can propose that as a parliament bill?
12. [SilverWolv, Independent] The current alternative method is to send the screenshot via email to Wolvhaven's email (wolvhaven@outlook.com)

WolvHaven Minecraft Server:

Copyright © 2017 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.

13. Question put to house and agreed on (Amendment to add alternative method of contact)

14. [TheLamborghini, Independent] I would like to say we should address security as some people are not willing to share emails as well. I think we should take it into account.
15. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] Thank you mister speaker. For II/A/7.4 would there be any elaboration for people who do the test together as there are cases where people have done the citizen test together at once.
16. [y0urst_tru1y, Senator] It will be added to a list for consideration at a later date.
17. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] In the event that the citizen test questions are updated will the section declare that said changes are to be made without any announcements i.e. secretly any provisions for future overhauls of the citizen test in any case any flaws are found. Does it extend to content changes, If yes, then ok
18. [y0urs_tru1y, Independent] Citizen test contents are classified SECRET as defined in II/A/7.5. The answer being yes; all contents including changes.
19. [VincentLUMCFan, Independent] Thank you Mr. Speaker. Regarding citizens sitting the citizen test together, I've observed that there's a sign at the citizen test area, saying its one by one entrance into the test?
20. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Yes. It will be illegal. But I have not added it in I think. In any case, I prefer to redesign the test such that you cannot physically have multiple players inside. We will have to revisit this at a later date. For now multiple people taking the test together will result in a denied citizenship.
21. [MC_Dunc,MP, DEM] So if the player is notified in the #notification channel on discord, they are still not considered as promoted until promoted in game?
22. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Yes. Not promoted. But in such cases, notify admins in #Helpop and we will promote as soon as possible unless someone sent the notification incorrectly.
23. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] In event of a player took a screenshot at the end zone, but without the authentication message, what is the action to be made?
24. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Redo test. Should not be difficult if one has done it before, one should know the answers already.
25. [Minebuilder1223, Prime Minister, MP, PFG] I believe the prime minister holds the power to call a snap election. Can this be clarified?
26. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Can the member SilverWolv please confirm this?
27. [SilverWolv, Independent] I second that

28. Question put to house and agreed on (Amendment to allow PM to call snap election)

29. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Both still require senate approval?
30. [SilverWolv, Independent] No. The Prime Minister may call a snap election; however, the senate has the power to call a snap election without the approval of the Prime Minister.

31. [autobus22, MP, HGP] Shouldn't it be the president not prime minister Then What about the president.
32. [Minebuilder1223, Prime Minister, MP, PFG] That would be a dictatorship
33. [SilverWolv, Independent] Prime minister can call a snap election at any time. Senate may call a snap election after 3 months from the previous election. The president may not.
34. [Grass_Jelly, MP, NCP] May i ask for clarification? In the political system of the UK, I have noticed that the speaker does not run as part of any party, they run as the speaker. Will the speaker run in the election as "speaker" or as part of their original party.
35. [yOurs_TrU1y, Independent] I believe current system is original party. Member SilverWolv please clarify
36. [SilverWolv, Independent] Seconded.
37. [Rom5419, MP, NAT] Thank you, Mr Speaker. Firstly, The requirement of a three letter party code is quite restrictive. This MP would like to suggest flexible party coding of 2 to 5 letters instead. Secondly, on name will there be any regulations on party names specifically language and themes last thing we want is a "SilverWolv sucks dick and is unfit to rule" party or something similar
38. [SilverWolv, Independent] I fully support the motion to introduce flexible party codes and name restrictions. I propose that names must not bear any vulgar language and/or be in a nature which offends others
39. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] i would like to urge that amendments be made the law regarding the party name. In addition should we also ban names that has wordings like totalitarian states
40. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] Thank you mr speaker. Firstly in addition to the member SilverWolv's statement on bombastic party names, this MP would like to propose that party names MUST be concordant with their ideology as in left wing parties should have names that appear left wing to avoid confusion and such. Secondly, with regards to 2.2.3.1, what if an active or incumbent party somehow ends up with only one party member remining or will there be some grace period for the appointment of a second member?
41. [SilverWolv, Independent] Thank you mr speaker. I believe those restrictions will be too much. It is the citizen's duty to be informed of who they are voting for and the party's duty to educate (or not) on their manifestos. To clarify, currently, if an incumbent party ends up with 1 member remaining, the party will still exist till the end of the parliamentary term
42. [yOurs_TrU1y, Independent] Seconded
43. **Question put to house and agreed on (Incumbent party remains legitimate till end of parliamentary term)**
44. **Question put to house and agreed on (Flexible 2-5 Party Codes)**
45. **Question put to house and agree on(no offensive or vulgar names)**

46. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] Due to the slow progress, are we going to finish the whole thing tonight?
47. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Yes.
48. [Minebuilder1223, PM, PFG] Thank you Mr Speaker. There does not appear to be any provision regarding the situation in which a player uses alts to make fraudulent votes.
49. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] That is considered voter fraud and covered in penal code.
50. [SilverWolv, Independent] As of currently we consider separate accounts as different individuals
51. [VincentLUMCFan, Independent] Thanks Mr speaker. If there are only two party members, if one resigns, then it will be left with one.
52. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Asked and answered. Go read Hansard.
53. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] As stated in 6.3, parties that are not government are opposition, but in this election, opposition coalition and government, still there are parties left. Those parties aren't considered as the opposition. If then, what are they considered?
54. [SilverWolv, President] They are considered the crossbench, but they are technically opposition.
55. [Grass_Jelly, MP, NCP] Apologies, but going back to what I asked earlier, does the speaker have to be an elected of the house or to be selected the role of speaker? So, is it possible for an elected upon being selected to the role of speaker and pass the seat on to another member of the party
56. [SilverWolv, Independent] Nope. He can be unelected.
57. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Nobody said cannot.
58. [minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG] Thank you Mr Speaker. I do not believe that players should be able to use minechat to vote in a vote of voices. Since if they cannot use it in a division
59. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Reason for this is that we cannot differentiate easily, cuz technically they are logged in with a minecraft client. How you want to separate minechat user from normal user?
60. [SilverWolv, Independent] One who votes must be able to vote in a division. Otherwise we may waste time by calling a division when there's some who can't even vote in the division
61. [Grass_Jelly, MP, NCP] Well, most of the time we get the "Joined with MineChat" message and chances are, most people wouldn't have the paid for the full application, knowing this server's reputation.
62. [SilverWolv, Independent] Some applications do not give a message at the start, for example, the one I use; I move to call a vote on whether we want to consider MineChat users in a vote of the voices
63. [minebuilder1223, MP, PFG] We could do what we do with the gm3tp rule and not enforce it but make it known to the public that it is illegal
- 64. Question put to house and agreed on (Allow Voting on "Minechat")**

65. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] For section 6, if bills amended, would it require parliament for voting or amended without parliament discussion
66. [Minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG] IS there a certain criteria for bills to have to be sent for senate for review?
67. *Reading of section II, part D bypassed in the interests of time*
68. [SilverWolv, Independent] As long as the bill is passed by the HOR, the senate may pick and choose whatever they want.
69. [minebuilder1223, MP, PFG] Thank you Mr Speaker. I thought that the high council had been replaced by the senate? clarification pls?
70. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] No. 2 seperate bodies.
71. [VincentLUMCFan, Independent] Can you clarify what' is the difference between common law and statutory law? I am new.
72. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Statutory law is what is written in black and white. Case law / common law is based off of previous court decisions
73. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] Statutory law = laws written in document by Government, common law = laws accumulated over time through court cases. not written in document
74. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] For section E, during a state of emergency, in event of the president is AWOL, is there a line of succession to be considered.
75. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Deputy President.
76. [SilverWolv, Independent] Seconded.
77. [autobus22, MP, HGP] About G, What exactly is considered included in intelligence? (Does this include public records of bans for instance, which COULD be sensitive in a sense)
78. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Yes.
79. *Reading returned to part II section D*
80. [Autobus22, MP, HGP] I would like to propose two things. Firstly, I propose a clause / clausule that would stop the 2 months clearing timer while a tempban is ongoing. Otherwise, it would be impossible to actually be permanently banned as intended. And, secondly, a way should be implemented for the warnings to last longer if it is clear the the person in question hasn't improved in the field where his warning was given.
81. [SIlverWolv, Independent] Problem with said proposal is that the current logging system is not that advanced to be capable of counting in that format. I think such proposal should be proposed as a bill and then introduced during the rewrite of the records logging system. The extending of warnings should also be done in the form of a bill.
- 82. Question put to house and agreed on (Perm ban for incurring six warnings within six months)**
83. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] In regards to 3, will there be a rubric for enhanced e.g. multiplier factor or anything
84. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] you are free to propose a defined set in parliament next week.

85. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] Could the speaker rephrase/explain 7? Will there be any limitations to that?
86. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Meaning if a player is threaten in real to do something here, he can do so freely without consequence, because he was under threat of real life harm. Yes. if someone in the US threaten to shoot me with a gun that is not credible if someone threatened to poke me in the arm that is unreasonable and too minor depends on from who all are considered on case by case basis
87. [autobus22, MP, HGP] Even if conspiring as a group, the person may not have control over all actions of the persons in questions. I'd suggest rather, the automatic application of all warnings on the leader too, to be reviewed on a case by case basis, though most likely described here, it simply doesn't have to be. Criminal intent might be excessively bullying everyone, but then one member does a completely unrelated criminal offense, Then how would you logically even hold the leader accountable, as he'd be made accountable via the way this is written. Thank you for clarifying
88. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] No. if you form a group with criminal intent, then you can go suck cock, if the group is formed without criminal intent, then it is not considered a crime, one member acting alone shall not be considered under this clause.
89. [autobus22, MP, HGP] Would attempted minor offense include breaking single blocks in lag spike? In some situations it might be impossible to place back. If so, what should said player do?
90. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Beg for forgiveness.
91. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] In regards to 14, with the approval of the president, can Schematica be used for WolvHaven city projects?
92. [SilverWolv, Independent] Can. Architect only.
93. [the fucking bus] buT ScheMatiCA IS useEsS BecAUse oF woRldEdit, IAh
94. [Minebuilder1223, Prime Minister, MP, PFG] I believe that backseat moderation should not be considered the same as impersonating other members of staff, as that is not the intent.
95. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] Question regarding section 15, if we give credit, is that still considered plagiarism?
96. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] I think not considered if approval is given by original owner unless original owner is unreasonable to contact. Not in excess, meaning 2 or less. General guideline is 1; however, maximum possible sentence is 2 for extreme cases
- 97. Question put to the house and agreed upon (Separate BDSM and staff impersonation)**
98. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] If arguing with staff to defend oneself, does it still carry a punishment? I think the term "arguing" should be redefined as arguing in defence should not be disallowed
99. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] To give a defence is allowed.

100. [SilverWolv, Independent] To appeal/state your defence is something to argue like a uncivilised person is different.
101. [autobus22, MP, HGP] I feel like voter fraud on repeated offense should have a bigger punishment as it's intentionally trying to meddle with the servers running and policy
102. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] Is there a necessity for imprisonment? Really not a huge significance to punish voter fraud when it's only half an hour or an hour. Should the punishments be increased?
- 103. Question put to the house and agreed on (Increase punishment for voter fraud)**
- 104. Question put to the house and agreed on (Seven day temporary ban)**
105. [autobus22, MP, HGP] Needs clause for redstone systems, as a single block grieved in redstone can in cases have far more complex/complicated result as just grieving normally as severity is kind of measured by effect higher punishment should exist for grieving redstone, at least comparatively I'd suggest one extra warning if entailing redstone systems above the usual.
106. [y0urs_Tru1y, Independent] best left to staff discretion because not all redstone systems are difficult to fix or will have large impacts all depends on situation
107. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] i dont agree with the block change to be a standard. i think it should be on staff discretion and should be assesed in levels based on staff discussion cause if someone placed like liquids ie lava waters all over the grief so how would the grief block change be determined shouldn't it be determined on a level of scale but not narrowed down to a block like the point is should it be staff decision if that is a huge grief that is a minor grief instead of a block to rule it cause grieving is honestly to be subjective for staff discretion
108. [y0urs_Tru1y, Independent] flowing water = 1 block, meaning every block of air that is now flowing water is counted.
- 109. Question put to the house and agreed on (Redefine severity of grief)**
110. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] for different exploits different penalties? or same cause some exploits have a huge impact than others and also if the player uses exploit for some time then reports to staff. then they lose immunity as stated in .1 right
111. [y0urs_Tru1y, Independent] again, read carefully. i wrote "not less". therefore can be ALOT more. since no maximum is defined
112. [autobus22, MP, HGP] What if person was not aware it was exploit at first, though rare this could and has happened
113. [y0urs_Tru1y, Independent] 4th word in 21
114. [autobus22, MP, HGP] I feel as 23.2 might be too personal as what is reasonable isn't a set defined. Should be changed to staff discretion imo, with possibility to lodge complaint if disagree

115. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] i copy paste from singapore's actual penal code. it is not defined, so staff have discretion to define what is an ordinary person, not happy, go complain
116. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] For 23, does it cover discord and so or any server affiliated chat.
117. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Yes, but discord rules will also be covered in a later part
118. [SilverWolv, Independent] DIBS ON CALL NUMBER 120
119. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] For 24, if joking real like threats such as kys in a joking way, still get perma banned or verbally warned.
120. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Permban is only for real life threat threats.
121. [autobus22, MP, HGP] for 24.1 I feel as though this should be an instant unappealable as well not just a full ban
122. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] then you better make sure the victim find it funny lor
123. [minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG] Thank you, Mr Speaker. Would a threat such as "I will kill minebuilder" on a sign under a bridge near a certain gardellian town made by a certain triggered person constitute? i was obviously making a reference. take your time to work it out
124. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] No. reasonable and credible. i think we shall add that in now.
125. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] If the threat is based on some sort of roleplay, is that still considered or not?
126. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] As long as both parties understand that it is just roleplay
127. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] Should we also include builds or symbols built by minecraft, not only with signs and markers; In the event of the player being defamed not sueing or request the offender to claim responsibility can not have punishment or not?
128. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Included; No crime.
129. [autobus22, MP, HGP] What if the intentional reputation harming is the outing of "disgusting" or bad actions the person in question has taken.
130. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Hmmm, I think we shall limit this law to fake news only. The truth is allowed.
- 131. Question put to the house and agreed (Remove II/D/25.1)**

Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Minebuilder12232. SilverWolv3. MC_Dunc4. Kul3Dewd5. Cupochan_6. Sambrose7. Hinwapoon8. SpaceInvader119. Grass_Jelly10. autobus22	

AYES: 14 NOES: 0**Motion is therefore negated/resolved in the affirmative.**

WolvHaven Statute Bill

A
B I L L
TO

Replace the existing rules of WolvHaven a clearly written statute book

Be it enacted by the president of the federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. New statute book

- a. The rules and regulations will be replaced with a new statute book
 - i. The statute book may be found here:
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1G3xosroQ1vjyZQ6DNpiENbxDEpUL8tIpVwrgT6J1cSo>
 - ii. The rules on the website that are affected by the statute book will remain to serve as a summary. However, all members will be advised to look at the statute book at:-
 1. The rules page of the website itself
 2. The end of the citizen test

2. New penal code

- a. Staff must refer to the statute book when dishing out punishments against members

3. Extent

- a. Only the following sections of the statute book will take effect:-
 - i. PART I: Preliminary
 - ii. PART II: General Law
- b. Further sections of the statute book will be discussed through parliament before implementations

4. Implementation

- a. This act will come into effect once it has received approval from the president

5. Short Title

- a. This act may be cited as the WolvHaven Statutes Act, 2018