
Saturday, 27 January 2018 Hansard

Session 3, Quarter 1 of 2018
27 January 2018

Bills tabled:

Bill Name Proposer	Bill No	Stage of Consideration	Outcome (Ayes/Noes)
Permanent Residency Bill ROM5419, MP, NAT	17411	Second Reading (Cont.)	Debate Adjourned
Government and Parliament Reform Bill Minebuilder1223, MP, Prime Minister, PFG	18105	Second Reading	Debate Adjourned
Replay Mod Legalisation Bill Mopsistudios, Independent	18106	First & Second Reading	14/2

Speaker of Parliament:

1. Grass_Jelly

Original report written by:
Hinwapoon
SpaceInvader11
27 January 2018

17411

Bill Name:

Permanent Residency Bill

Tabled By:

Rom5419, MP, NCP

Debate:

1. [Rom5419, MP, NAT] Continuing on from last fortnight's heated debate, a fellow mp from the crossbench has questioned whether this PR bill would be worth implementing at all given the performance of the current system in filtering out external threats while keeping the citizenship process simple. Actually, this bill does not imply implementation directly upon passing. If there are still doubts about either the present or new system, this bill, even if passed, may be put on hold for a prolonged experimental trial. Such that the senate can determine within reasonable bounds if there is any emergent merit with regards to the PR system. Also, there was also a question whether the extra constraints introduced by the PR bill would deter future WH applicants. This MP was aware of that when drafting the bill, but this bill is more of ensuring that people who truly deserve citizenship can get it without given too much work to the admins for processing those who only wish to stay here for the short term with more tourist perks.
2. [autobus22, MP, HGP] I'd like to remind my friend, the proposer of the bill, that a longer trial/implementation time does not answer the question "is it useful", it just answer a question that wasn't asked "How to avoid community shock when implementing?" I'd also like to remind my friend that the purpose of the bill, is not an answer to the possible bad side effects, one doesn't negate the other.
3. [Rom5419, MP, NAT] Regarding the question "is it useful?" This mp would like to bring up the surge in new citizenship applicants lately. How many of these new arrivals actually use all their citizenship perks and how many will stay the whole month? At least? Treat this as more of a trial period like for software, maybe even freemium software. A newcomer may go through the citizen test as usual, and perform all the things a new comer that has not fully adjusted to the culture/meta would do. The citizen rank would then be a proper indicator of TRUST, and maturity. Sort of like what the VIP rank currently does, but more inclusive. This MP would also like to invite the other reps

WolvHaven Minecraft Server:

Copyright © 2017 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.

of the house to give their opinions regarding this bill to highlight even more points to the MP of the crossbench.

4. [mopsistudios, Independent] I think that clause 3.b.ii is way to strict saying that the PR can't be an active member of a rival server. I think that should be amended and I ask rom what the use of this is.
5. [Rom5419, MP, NAT] Yes, clause 3.b.ii may not have been clear enough, this MP apologises. It actually refers only to rival servers that have declared war on WolvHaven, not rival servers as a whole. Only those who that are well known of sending almost entirely members of malicious intent. Like for instance, black hat hubs. Yes, this MP would like to introduce an amendment for that.
6. [mopsistudios, Independent] I thank this member for clarification.
7. [autobus22, MP, HGP] I'd like to remind the proposer of the bill that "is it useful" is not answered by "how it works compared to the current system", these are also two different questions. A request is made here to avoid further strawmans to the question. Secondly, the possible adverse effects of the bill in relation to new players still also hasn't been answered or accounted for. Clarification, explanation, and plausibly further debate is needed on this subject.
8. [Rom5419, MP, NAT] This MP feels that the question "is it useful" is still quite vague. Would the previous MP present some specific causes/clauses of dubious value? Also, how is the MP certain that all newcomers would be negatively affected by the bill. Implying that all newcomers are unable to pass through? Even those that are well behaved and worthy.
9. [SilverWolv, Independent] I acknowledge that this bill at hand is highly contentious and needs further looking into. I move that we move this bill into the committee stage so that each clause can be further examined and not have a vote today. Also, in regards to what has been happening so far, the question raised by the member auto is rather useless. WHat does he mean by "is it useful"? How should we know if it is useful or not when we haven't even tried it? Is this question even useful? Also, with regards to what the member rom has been saying, please answer the question so we can get over with.
10. [autobus22, MP, HGP] The question "is it useful" refers to: would there be a reason for this to be trialed in the first place, since the latest rule amendments there still hasn't been an observable base for this factor. Secondly, to address Rom on the "negative effects", the burden of proof would lay with the person introducing a new concept, not with the

one refusing that. Please refer to

<https://www.logicallyfallacious.com/tools/lp/Bo/LogicalFallacies/222/Shifting-of-the-Burden-of-Proof>

11. [mopsistudios, Independent] Thank you Mr Speaker, I ask for further clarification from rom. In clause 3.a.ii, it states that the pr must submit a self-introduction document also stating their identity details. WHat does the member mean when he says identity details?
12. [y0urs_Truly, Independent] With regards to what my dear autobus has said, “since the latest rule amendments” blah blah. What rule amendments? What rule amendments have been made regarding to citizenship or tourists. Unless you are talking about the statutes, which, as I have pointed out last session, simply revealed the rules, it didn’t change the rules.
13. [Rom5419, MP, NAT] Thank you mr speaker. With regards to the MP’s request for clarification of 3.a.ii, that was already discussed in the previous session. It just refers to alts and - (*Time for bill expired*)
14. [SilverWolv, Independent] I move that we extend the time we have for this bill by 30 mins.

15. Question put to house and agreed on

16. [Rom5419, MP, NAT] Thank you Mr Speaker. Back to the identity details thing. Yes, it’s about alternate accounts, time zone, and all those essentials needed for other server members to know your background for easier coordination of events. Sort of like your forum profile. Totally harmless, not referring to doxxing details like house address IRL and all that. As for what the fellow MP autobus has stated, yes, this MP apologises for the use of a logical fallacy. With that in mind, an example of a negative effect would have to be tabled, which could be discussed shortly.
17. [autobus22, MP, HGP] The crossbench accepts the apology as presented by the proposer. Further, the crossbench would like to note that a negative effect has already been presented. This was done last session in the form of presenting there might be a large, or double barrier for tourists to go through the process of becoming a citizen. Hence, the crossbench would like to either see amendments to make sure this isn’t the case, or see clarification as to why this would be justified or see evidence to the contrary.
18. [Rom5419, MP, NAT] Thank you Mr Speaker. Double barrier eh? There is no change in the current process. From tourist to citizen, which in the new one would be tourist to PR. The MP is implying that all newcomers would absolutely want to aim for citizenship. The

bill is to elevate the citizen rank up, with the PR rank taking the place of the current citizen rank. For the double barrier, it is just a simple self-introduction letter, like a citizen oath or the forum registration form and a second background check. How obstructive could that be? It's not asking for any further understanding of the rules. Just a memo for us that the person is transparent about their identity and does not mean any harm. But yes, this MP will continue browsing the bill and attempt to optimise the citizenship process as much as possible.

19. [mopistudios, Independent] Thank you Mr Speaker. I think that 3.a.ii shall be reworded as it might cause confusion to new players about the identity details part.
20. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] My dearest rom, you say it is not obstructive, the simple fact that it requires more effort is obstructive. People are lazy.
21. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] Thank you Mr Speaker. My dearest y0urs_Tr1y, if the human nature is lazy, then we would need those people who are sloppy do the job
22. *Starcubed, Independent is kicked out of chamber*
23. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] I didn't say it was
24. [Rom5419, MP, NAT] Thank you Mr Speaker. Alright this MP acknowledges that the second reason is still too demanding for the average person. So this MP would like to propose a vote. If aye, continue with the current bill with an amendment changing clause 3a.ii. From "personal details" to a list such as "main account, alt accounts, nationality, timezone, age". If nay, the current bill will be withdrawn and this MP will draft a replacement bill, where the need for a registration document for citizen is gone and PRs will then be promoted citizen in the same that citizen are currently promoted to VIP (VIP rank requirements will be increase as a result.)

25. Question put the house and agreed on

26. [Rom5419, MP, NAT] With the house agreeing to continue the current bill with amendments. This MP would entertain any final calls before passing the bill. But first, this MP requests that hear fellow MP's comments, as it could contain a better solution. After that, please propose a vote to either pass the bill or adjourn to the next session.
27. [Number_101, MP, HGP] I would like to remind all fellow MPs that Minecraft is a video game specifically meant for leisure and entertainment and that the clause of 3a.ii goes against this nature.

28. [SilverWolv, Independent] I would like to point out that he was given a call to provide a solution, he has not given that. Also, given the heated debate of this topic. I believe more consultation is needed, especially with members outside of parliamentary debate. Therefore, I move that we move this bill to the committee stage to be handled with and further looked into outside, before finally coming back when rom feels comfortable with it.

29. Question put the house and agreed on

30. Bill moved to committee

Permanent Residency Bill 2017/2018

A
B I L L
TO

Commence the introduction, implementation, and optimisation of the Permanent Residency rank as an interim rank between sojourn and citizenship as part of immigration reform.

Be it enacted by the president of the federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. New Permanent Resident (PR) rank for new immigrants (players)

- a. New players who have passed both the Citizen Test and manual background checks will be promoted to PR rather than be granted citizenship.
- b. The primary purpose of the PR rank is to provide an additional layer of security to protect against new players of malicious intent, and maintain the culture and demographics of the server community; while providing more opportunities for players deemed illegitimate for citizenship to experience the server's features.
- c. The Citizen Test will remain as-is, but will be renamed as the Immigration Clearance Examination (ICE), or simply "Immigration Test".
- d. There will also be changes to the Citizen rank in order to accommodate the proposed additional immigration regulations.

2. Permanent Resident (PR) rank details

- a. The new PR rank will retain many original citizenship privileges, including:
 - i. Employment in businesses and as railway drivers
 - ii. Permission to access Sandbox, Gardellia, and Pangaea
 - iii. Permission to build in Pangaea
 - iv. Permission to use stored-value Metro+ cards
 - v. Permission to speak in the WolvHaven Discord
 - vi. Permission to own property in the City
- b. However, the following privileges require further promotion to citizenship:
 - i. Ownership of public housing units
 - ii. Eligibility to vote in server elections
 - iii. Eligibility to form or join political parties
 - iv. Permission to apply for Architect rank
 - v. Receiving Donor rank privileges
 - vi. Permission to build in Sandbox and Laboratory

- vii. Permission to apply to build in Gardellia
- viii. Citizen-tier punishment protocols for rule violations
- c. PRs are also subject to:
 - i. Taxation based on net worth
 - ii. Harsher tourist punishment protocols

3. Permanent Resident (PR) to Citizen Promotion Criteria

- a. Any permanent resident shall be granted citizenship by administrators under the following conditions:
 - i. Permanent Residency for at least 1 week, subject to behavioural monitoring reports from peers.
 - ii. The PR must submit to server staff a self-introduction document written in either essay or point form, stating their ~~identity details~~ main account, alt accounts, nationality, timezone, age, their rationale for joining the server, and what they could contribute to the server. The document must be in a language readable by at least one staff member.
 - iii. The PR must go through another (less intensive) round of manual background checks.
 - iv. The PR must have NO bans or active warnings in the past 3 months.
 - v. The monthly citizenship quota has not been exceeded. (ref clause 4a)
- b. A permanent resident shall be blacklisted (permanently refused) from obtaining citizenship if any of the following are met:
 - i. The PR has been (permanently) banned more than once.
 - ii. The PR is an active member of a cybercrime organisation or rival server blacklisted for acts of terrorism against WolvHaven.
- c. Administrators reserve the right to promote PRs to Citizen unconditionally if the PR in question has shown exceptionally benevolent behaviour.
- d. Administrators are also permitted to demote PRs to Tourist if the PR's current behaviour justifies such punishment.

4. Changes to the Citizen rank

- a. There will now be a maximum quota of citizen promotions to reduce the workload of Administrators and maintain the sustainability of the server community. The quota is to be reset every month, and the limit is dynamically determined based on the active player population, current availability of administrators, and the security level/defence readiness of the server.
- b. Citizens that satisfy any of the following conditions will be demoted to PR:
 - i. One unappealable permanent ban
 - ii. Two appealable permanent bans
 - iii. Four temporary bans due to warnings

- iv. Eight imprisonment periods
- v. Convicted of acts of treason (e.g. espionage on behalf of foreign entities)

5. Short title

- a. This act may be cited as the Permanent Residency Act, 2017/2018.

6. Implementation

- a. This act will only go into effect once the following are met:
 - i. Approval from the President,
 - ii. Approval from the incumbent Prime Minister, and
 - iii. Server permissions updated according to the act details.
- b. Clause 4 is only to be enacted once Clauses 1, 2, 3 have been in effect for at least one month and deemed acceptable.
- c. Players promoted to Citizen before this act goes into effect will only be affected by clause 4b.
- d. Tourists who have completed the Citizen Test but have not yet been promoted before this act goes into effect will be promoted to Citizen if eligible.
- e. Tourists who take the Immigration Test after this act goes into effect will be subject to all relevant clauses of this act.
- f. In the event that this act is to be repealed without any equivalent replacement, all current PRs are to be immediately demoted to the Tourist rank.

18105

Bill Name:

Government and Parliament Reform Bill

Tabled By:

Minebuilder1223, MP, Prime Minister, PFG

Debate:

- 1. Question put the house and agreed on**
- [minebuilder1223, MP, Prime Minister, PFG] Thank you Mr Speaker. As per the suggestions that were provided to me last session. I have amended this bill to provide more clarity and safeguard from taking advantage of proposals in this bill. I wish that all members of this house respect that for this duration of this debate and I hope that assumptions of this bill do not get in the way of educated debate.
- [hinwapoon, MP, NCP] Thank you Mr Speaker. I would like to ask the prime minister about clause I/A/1. In the bill, you said 'the PM may only nominate 2 elected members to the senate at the start of each parliamentary term'. But how about during the parliamentary term. how many members can you nominate into the senate?
- [minebuilder1223, MP, Prime Minister, PFG] To provide clarification on this clause, the meaning is that two members of the incumbent government can be nominated to the senate ONLY at the start of each parliamentary term. Therefore not during the term. These two members last the duration of the term and they then retire their duties from the senate before the next election.
- [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] Will the sitting pm be able to nominate himself to the senate?
- [minebuilder1223, MP, Prime Minister, PFG] Thank you Mr Speaker, that is a good question. I believe it would be wrong of the prime minister to be able to nominate himself to the senate and therefore i move that an amendment to be put in place to clarify that in the bill.

7. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] Thank you Mr Speaker. I would like to question the pm. The motive behind the clause 1A is the clause really feasible since a constant change in senate members notably the the two nominated ones, would make the senate function slightly different if I'm correct. the senate is appointed and is a permanent role. Wasn't this stated in the law. Can the pm respond to this please?
8. [minebuilder1223, MP, Prime Minister, PFG] *Interjecting - well im chaging the law*
9. [y0urs_Truly, Independent] I'm not sure if dunc is intentionally being thick, but OBVIOUSLY if this is passed, it will result in changes to the law. is it feasible? of course it is or the two members work differently than the ones appointed by the president, this particular clause has the backing of both the president and myself.
10. [minebuilder1223, MP, Prime Minister, PFG] As the previous member has just stated, This change is feasible. As you all know, we have elections here in wolvhaven and therefore it is the public that chooses the government that will represent them. Having members of that government in the senate only strengthens the government achieving what the public have voted them to do
11. [y0urs_Truly, Independent] I would just like to point out that 2 members is sufficient to provide input to the senate, but not enough to sway any decision, in any significant manner, before anybody misconstrues my meaning again. I am 100% in favour of limiting the nominations to 2.
12. [Spaceinvader11, Independent] This is my personal opinion but I feel like that it is fine or nominating just 2, isn't enough to sway opinions but there must be criterias for those who should be nominated into senate from the government. The questions are: what have they done for the server? Are they worthy?
13. [MC_Dunc, MP, HGP] I would like to ask the PM questions. can the president overrule the senate for passing the member in the clause 1a 3. Is there a possibility in this? Regarding the government only, why is it a must to be government only for the nominate members? Why must the president declare emergency after the advice of PM? Because the clause now sounds like after pm advice, the president must, which does not sounds like the president has any jurisdiction on whether to call the emergency or not.
14. [y0urs_Truly, Independent] Regarding the declaration of emergency once a state of emergency is declared, laws may be passed without going through the senate or president, BUT the president can veto the state of emergency and then veto any bill he doesn't like. ok, can. Replace president with senate. Same thing can be done.

15. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] Due to a scheduling conflict, this MP would be speaking on behalf of the crossbench MP autobus on a point also shared by this MP. Would there be provisions for the opposition to be able additionally elect one senate member to avoid a potential complete disbalance in senate views disproportionate to the voting of the server's members.
16. [minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG] Thank you mr speaker. Firstly i would like to respond to dunc's comments. In regards to I/A/3. Both the president and the senate must mutually agree before the nominated members are chosen. The second question, It is the people who elected the government to lead the country. Not to be in constant battle with the opposition instead. Naturally the government and therefore the prime minister should have more power. This power is not infinite though. The President has unlimited power. Therefore that is a constant safety balance that is never diminished no matter what decisions are passed in this house. Therefore, it is natural that since the government is leading WolvHaven, they should also have a presence in the senate not as much to overrule things as to provide a viewpoint. Since the permanent membership in the senate is larger than the two nominated members. As for the third question, at the start of clause B, I have worded it in that way more because of formalities. As in essence, it is still only the president that holds the power to declare a state of emergency.
17. [hinwapoon, MP, NCP] The PM on his last call said that "it is the people who elected the government to lead the country." Look at the government's party presence at today's session. Where are the other parties and other people on the PFG? How are the PM suppose to nominate a senate who are elected with this presence in this chamber.
18. [minebuilder1223, PM, MP, PFG] Firstly, the point the honourable member raised has nothing to do with the topic at hand. Secondly, this bill will only come into effect after the next elections. After which, point there will be a very clear difference between this government and the next government. Thirdly, I have not much control over whether people wander off to the other servers. I do not sympathise with their actions. And serious changes are going to be made after this session. I can assure you that.
19. [_ezzo, MP, NCP] I would like to say about the addition of 2 members to the senate first. the senate is partly meant as a check to the powers of the parliament and the government. it is mainly meant for the wise men of WH and the admins. Each vote counts as in value 50 times as much. Why will a PM have the power to, say, disturb the senate. The senate's members are chosen by the president and the senate. The senate no matter how North Korea it is assumed, it is required in its current state. Secondly, the government never leads WH, but it is the senate and mostly the president who does the

sensitivity of leading is left to the senate. The PM is never meant to be too powerful. This will represent a drastic change in the structure. One that will benefit the government more than the president, the senate, and the rest combined. Why ruin all this power? You never trust a PM. Especially if a rule breaker rules it.

20. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] Mr. Speaker, what the PM just mentioned is wrong on a level. The statement which the honorable member hinwapoon just mentioned has something to do with the topic. If the incumbent government has members nominated to the senate, that is always absent to the parliament, that wouldn't be quite good. Even if PFG is not the next government. This would apply to all parties as the government, if their availability cannot be assured. This would cause maybe some irresponsible players in the senate and lastly, to address the Prime Minister's comments regarding he does not have much control over whether people wander off, I agree, but its the government who decides what parties join the government. SO in some case, the PM does have control.
21. [minebuilder1223, PM, MP, PFG] I think every member in this house has forgot a crucial situation which is soon impending. In less than a year, our President, will be leaving on national service. And no longer be able to lead WolvHaven. The member opposite states that the current situation works well. And I must agree with him, but it won't work well when the president himself will not be here to lead WolvHaven. Which is exactly what this bill is trying to do. Change the way this server is governed. So that it can run without the president's presence. Thinking ahead, it's what the members of this house seems not to be doing. Also, there are already members of the senate who are members of parliament. Notably the person who brought up the issue of parties in the senate himself. Therefore, I don't quite see the point in that argument. IF people are members of a party, they will be inclined to support that party.
22. [yOurs_TrU1y, Independent] Hi Mr. PM. I am still here. I still influence things on this server or did you forget that I too am serving my national service?
23. [SilverWolv, Independent] This bill I believe is to prepare wolvhaven for that day. The president may be unable to respond to an emergency or crisis as he is unavailable. I would also love to point out how entertained I am by the members who paint such a rosy picture of the senate. But in actual fact, truthbomb alert. IT DOESN'T REALLY DO MUCH. It has only influenced a few bills. That's it. Also, May I point out. historically the opposition has always had representation in the senate so not the government so I don't see the problem with allowing 2 seats for the so we dont ping pong bill.
24. [ROM5419, MP, NAT] Thank you Mr Speaker. Firstly, the fellow MP autobus just stated that there is no say in whether the opposition and governmental support wont switch, so

useless to argue that. Secondly, at the beginning of this reading, the PM stated that the president still has a stake in many emergency related and other issues like that, so the PM is still limited to the presidents' commands, but then the PM said that this bill is to prepare the server for when the president is not with us anymore, could the PM please resolve this contradiction?

25. [MC_Dunc, MP, DEM] I would like to respond to a few things. Prime Minister, may I ask. I would like to mention the presence of Endarmatster as an owner too, if I'm not mistaken, his presence here is to help the president when he is away, if then, there would be still someone to lead wolvhaven, hence your statements just now were incorrect, as there is actually someone leading the server, yes you may argue, endarmatster may not be able to attend the server when most people are online, but still, that still doesn't change the fact that, the pm doesn't need more power, because there is someone here to lead us, during the period of absence of the president, and that is Endarmatster
26. [minebuilder1223, MP, PM, PFG] You could use the same argument back when we intended to begin the government system and just say. Everything's fine, we don't need election. But just because everything's fine. Doesn't mean we cant make everything better. This is the only functioning proper democratic parliament on minecraft. And to simply stop innovation and development because "everything is fine" doesnt bring our server forward. Everything was fine back in 2011. Doesn't mean we just left it and didn't carry on. We must continue to innovate. To experiment with new things. Of course there are risks. I didn't say i was responding to you dunc. Anyway carrying on, of course there is danger that everything won't work. But to have that as a reason not to innovate. Will never get us anywhere. Therefore i put it forward to every member of this parliament. This is a choice between progressing our parliament and experimenting with new things to try and create a better government for the future, or just say the status quo is fine and just carry on as normal changing nothing.

27. Question put to house and agree on

28. Debate Adjourned

Government and Parliament Reform Bill

A
B I L L
TO

Reform and revise the powers and responsibilities of an incumbent government

Be it enacted by the president of the federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

I. Powers to be introduced

- A. The prime minister has the power to nominate elected members of his/her government to become members of the senate.
 - 1. The Prime Minister may only nominate 2 elected members to the senate at the start of each parliamentary term.
 - 2. These members remain members of the senate for the duration of a parliamentary term, after which they cease to be members of the senate.
 - 3. Both the Senate and the President must approve the decision before the member can be appointed.
- B. Upon advice from the Prime Minister, the President must declare a state of emergency.
 - 1. This state of emergency lasts for 7 days, unless parliament votes to extend it.
 - 2. In this time, emergency laws can be put in place by the prime minister without approval by the House of Representatives or Senate.
 - a) The President must ratify laws passed in a state of emergency.
 - b) These laws must be voted on by parliament as soon as the state of emergency expires
 - 3. The state of emergency can be vetoed by the president or the senate at any time.
 - 4. Both the senate and the president have the power to impeach the prime minister as long as the HoR agrees through a division vote.
 - 5. The president no longer must ask the Senate for approval to declare a state of emergency.

II. Cabinet

- A. The prime minister must assign a cabinet consisting of a Leader of the House, Minister for Infrastructure, Home Minister, Chief Minister for Gardellia and Minister for Foreign Affairs and upon gaining office after a general election.

1. These secretaries are made up of the elected members of the incumbent government.
 - a) In the event that there are not enough members to fill every role, Roles will be filled with the first in the list taking priority.
2. The role of these secretaries is to represent their respective sectors in the parliament and advise the Prime Minister.

III. Obligations

- A. The prime minister must be assigned a discord role on the WolvHaven discord server by the name of “Prime Minister” upon achieving or retaining office after a general election
 1. This role will (in addition to permissions granted to verified members)-
 - a) Be displayed separately from online members
 - b) Have the power to mention “@everyone”
 - c) Have access to #announcements channel
 2. The additional powers of this role may only be used for the following purposes-
 - a) Announcing the ratification of a bill, regardless of the party which proposed it
 - b) A situation that regards the entirety of WolvHaven e.g. Declaration of a state of emergency, Snap Election, etc.

IV. Extent

- A. This act extends to WolvHaven City, Gardellia, Pangea, Sandbox and Laboratory.

V. Commencement

- A. All sections will come into force following the next general election after this act is ratified.

VI. Short Title

- A. This act may be cited as the Government and Parliament Reform Act 2018

18106

Bill Name:

Replay Mod Legalisation Bill

Tabled By:

Mopistudios, Independent

Debate:

1. [y0urs_Tr1y, Independent] This mod is fine. Council approves. I move that we move to voting immediately.
2. **Question put to the house and agree on**

Division:

A Y E S	N O E S
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Autobus222. Endarmatster3. Hinwapoon4. Minebuilder12235. GukkyGukz6. SilverWolv7. Spaceinvader118. y0urs_Tr1y	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. ROM5419

AYES: 14 NOES: 2**Motion is therefore resolved in the affirmative.**

Replay Mod Legalisation Bill

A
B I L L
TO

Allow the use of the Minecraft Replay Mod, a mod which allow players to record their game sessions and replay them from any perspective.

Be it enacted by the president of the federation of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

1. Proposed changes:

- a. Allow the use of the Minecraft Replay Mod on the server

2. Short title

- a. This act may be cited as the Replay Mod legalisation act, 2018

3. Implementation

- a. This act will go into effect immediately after it receives approval from the president.