




Parliament of  
**Woly Haven**



# House of Representatives

## Hansard

---

**Saturday, 27 April 2019**

---

Session 2, Quarter 2 of 2019

---

**Original report written by:**  
hinwapoon  
**27 April 2019**

# Tenth Parliament

## Elected Members of Parliament

Name of Member	Role (If Any)	Affiliated Party
Grass_Jelly		FWH
minebuilder1223	Speaker of the House	FWH
hinwapoon	Leader of the House Deputy Speaker of the House	FWH
_ezzo	Deputy Prime Minister Minister for Foreign Affairs	FWH
VincentLUMCFan		FWH
lebokbok	Minister for Infrastructure	FWH
TheLamborghini		FWH
mopsistudios	Prime Minister Minister for Home Affairs	CEN
sambrose	Chief Minister of Gardellia	CEN
quarxilon	Leader of the Opposition	NOD
MC_Dunc	Shadow Leader of the House	NOD
Mysticlorde		NOD
MachineMatster		NOD

**All other names mentioned in this document are non-party affiliated.**

## Bills tabled

<b>Bill Name</b> Proposer	<b>Bill No</b>	<b>Stage of Consideration</b>	<b>Outcome</b> (Ayes/Noes)
<b>Updated Gardellia Desertation Bill</b> BlueTheGiantNoob	19201	Second Reading	Debate Adjourned
<b>Gardellia Claim Registry Bill</b> mopsistudios	19202	First Reading	Debate Adjourned
<b>Permanent Residency (Referendum) Bill</b> mopsistudios	19203	First Reading	Debate Adjourned

**The SPEAKER (minebuilder1223)** took the chair at 14 00, made an acknowledgement of country and read prayers.

---

## 19201

Updated Gardellia Desertation Bill

---

### Debate:

**The SPEAKER:** First bill, the Updated Gardellia Desertation Bill. No amendments have been tabled for this bill. therefore i call upon the proposer of the bill to open the debate

**BlueTheGiantNoob:** Thank you Mr Speaker. So. i propose this bill because i believe we need to open up unused stations to new people. And because the current bill does not really cover for this need as of now

**The PRIME MINISTER:** Thank you Mr Speaker. I agree with this bill. There has been a virus going around gardellia for a couple of years now. It's called claiming a station and not doing anything. my only concern with the bill is the spelling. This bill is trying to update the "Gardellia desertation bill". I don't remember a bill with that name. I know it's a minor spelling mistake. But I think my friend to the right of me should proofread their bills before posting them.

**Imperial\_block:** Thank you Mr Speaker. I prefer putting some conditions for Gardellia Mainline station claim. The station will return unclaimed in case of inactive owners or if there are no buildings or station modifications in the next month. Maybe 3 months of inactivity and/or permbanned owner.

**Grass\_Jelly:** Thank you Mr Speaker. I would like to support this bill, except for the spelling, as brought up by my dear friend. However, one would like to argue that it doesn't go far enough. As outlined in the bill, the notified will be given the opportunity to respond by mail or discord. That gives too much leeway to town owners to just go "yeah i still want it" in discord and not do anything after. It gives too much freedom. Furthermore, this bill does not take into account community owned towns. Not to mention, towns that don't have active owners but are still widely accepted to be allowed to exist. Like Haldenstein for example. As much as I want to support this bill, I cannot in its current state. Too much freedom and leeway is offered and, in its current state, is just as effective as what we have now.

**BlueTheGiantNoob:** Thank you Mr Speaker. What you all say about the bad writing is something i will take into consideration for any future bill, i apologize for the badly written bill and

---

**WolvHaven Minecraft Server:**

Copyright © 2019 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.

i will offer myself to revise the bill in question. This is the first time i ever draft a bill and i shall sincerely apologize.

**SilverWolv:** Thank you Mr Speaker, I welcome the member's contributions in submitting a bill to try and address the gardellian abandoned claims situation we still face today. However, as mentioned by the the member grass\_jelly, the bill in its form is badly formatted and has many issues with it. In addition, the bill in its current form does not take in any considerations as to the debates at its first hearing two weeks ago. Thus, I believe that we should adjourn this debate afterwards, to completely rework the bill, or that the bill be withdrawn and a fresh, new one be tabled at the next sitting.

**The SPEAKER:** If this bill is to move to a third reading, that should be the proposer's decision. As we currently stand, the bill shall be voted on at the end of this reading.

**The PRIME MINISTER:** Thank you Mr Speaker. I agree with the member SilverWolv's statement. I suggest to the proposer that they contact the public bills office for assistance in changing the wording of their bill. I also cannot support this bill in its current form, but I believe an overhaul of the original bill is necessary.

**BlueTheGiantNoob:** Thank you Mr Speaker. Answering the pm's concerns, I believe what he is suggesting is the best way to go about it and i shall thus do as he suggests in order to rework the bill.

**The SPEAKER:** Does the honourable member wish to move the bill to a third reading?

**BlueTheGiantNoob:** Yes, Mr Speaker. But i wish to rework it

**Imperial\_block:** I agree with Grass\_Jelly's "I have it just to have it" speech. I own a town, located at RN16, and just 2 stations north there is a claim, owned by TheGreenKangaroo, where buildings never appear and it is more than a month in this status. I also want to add what is happening 2 stations south of mine. Oh I retire the last part from "I also...".

**Grass\_Jelly:** Thank you Mr Speaker. As the house has agreed that this bill in its current form is not effective for further debate, I move that reading time be cut and this bill adjourned. Furthermore, I suggest that the bill's tableer work with and in conjunction with the next bill.

**The SPEAKER:** The question is that this reading be adjourned and that the bill be read a third time on the 11th of May.

**Question put to the House and agreed on.**

---

**WolvHaven Minecraft Server:**

Copyright © 2019 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.

Updated Gardellia Desertation Bill

A  
**B I L L**  
TO

A bill with the main goal of updating the very outdated “Gardellia Desertation Bill” from 2017 as the current desertation bill is insufficient for the purpose it was designed for.

**B**e it enacted by the President of the Federal Republic of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

**1. Purpose**

- a. To update a bill that is aging in order to make sure it does it’s job.
- b. To reduce the amount of ghost towns we find in gardellia.
- c. To preserve gardellian space in general.

**2. What the bill in question aims to change**

- a. The offline time needed shall be shortened from 6 months down to 3 months.
- b. If little or no developement is in place, the claim in question shall be able to be considered deserted from as little as six weeks, The definition of little or no developement shall remain the same as the old bill.
- c. You should still however be given 10 months if you have a seriously valid reason to why you have not been able to do anything with the claim in question. Valid reason constitute being hospitalized, having serious exams or a very long vacation. Admins shall be able to decide what is and what is not a valid excuse but lack of interest shall not constitute a valid reason.
- d. In addition, players shall now be liable to the responsibility of notifying admins about the inactivity within a reasonable timeframe
- e. The rule about unappealable permanent bans causing cities to be rerolled/given to somebody else shall remain in place.
- f. The size of which the town in question must achieve for prolonged time shall be changed to require an area of 90000 blocks (the equivalent of 300x300)
- g. Even towns that reach this size are to be liable for removing after 4 months of inactivity and lack of developement

- h. Anyone may now be given the right to report deserted claims to the staff for processing, this is in order to help staff keep gardellia in check
- i. The final decision shall still be reviewed in the WolvHaven Senate. The final decision may include options for further delay or pardon.

### **3. What shall be in place if the claim in question is found deserted?**

- a. The town owner shall be given fifteen calendar days to respond and shall still be notified with both /mail and via discord once classified to be deserted. The sign shall be put at the claim if the owner can not be found out. Please note that this is only if not even coreprotect reveals the name of the owner.
- b. Shall the owner not do anything about this, the claim in question shall be subject to termination (No longer claimed by the person in question and shall be rolled back)

### **4. These updates shall be put in effect as soon as the president approves the bill.**

---

# 19202

## Gardellia Claim Registry Bill

---

### Debate:

**The SPEAKER:** Next, the Gardellia Claim Registry Bill to be considered. I invite the proposer of the bill to begin the debate

**The PRIME MINISTER:** Thank you Mr Speaker. Currently, it is very difficult to keep track of who owns which Gardellia claim. This bill will ensure that all players must register their existing and new Gardellia claims. This will make it easier to enforce the Abandoned claims bill. It will also reduce the amount of work required.

**Grass\_Jelly:** Thank you Mr Speaker. This bill would complement a reworked version of the bill presented previously in the debate. I encourage the other bill's proposer to work with this bill and aim to incorporate it as best as possible.

**Imperial\_block:** Thank you Mr Speaker. I agree with both the Prime Minister and Grass\_Jelly. I also propose that every Gardellia Mainline station is inserted of each line's table. Obviously digital table sheets. Everyone may access to the Excel or GSheets file, but only Helpers and Staff may modify it. The person who wants to claim or auct a claim should firstly say staff about the asset claim/auction before doing it. so staff can update the sheet.

**The PRIME MINISTER:** Thank you Mr Speaker. Firstly, My friend's previous statement makes me believe they did not read the bill, or at least not understand the bill. Secondly, staff currently uses a google sheet to keep track of claims but this sheet is very out of date. And that is the reasoning behind this bill to keep the sheet up to date by making players register their claims. There is no plan to make the sheet publicly available, at least currently.

**BlueTheGiantNoob:** Thank you Mr Speaker. There is a publically available wiki page for listing towns.

**Imperial\_block:** Thank you Mr Speaker. To BlueTheGiantNoob: as I seen on the wiki, the list is outdated. It does not contain the Brown Line, the Red Line Extension and the Orange Line. Keeping it up to date is one of the best things to do. The Gardellia Mainline claim register is something to put up to date and give the public a way to see (and obviously not modify) it as I stated before, the Claimer/Aucter should say staff that the claiming/auction is going to happen. taff should also say the claiming/auction date for a better tracking for the GDB.

---

### WolvHaven Minecraft Server:

Copyright © 2019 WolvHaven Minecraft Server All Rights Reserved.

**Hinwapoon:** Thank you Mr Speaker. I would like to tell the member who has talked in the previous call. The reason that the Gardellia town list is outdated is due to getting the information is to go to the station to search the town name mayor name and I don't get info every day and i would like to remind him also, brown line is put into the list.

**SilverWolv:** Thank you Mr Speaker. in addition to what the member has just mentioned, the list of claims currently on the wiki is not official; and is not regularly maintained. Regular maintenance of the list on the wiki is also not convenient. I believe for the sake of convenience and transparency, we should publicly release the Gardellia claims sheet. In addition, I believe there is a slight typo in section 6 of the bill whereby it mentions the act title being "Gardellia Claim Reporting Act, 2019." I also believe that section 5 of the bill is not necessary as it isn't really part of legislation. There is currently a new version of the claims list being created

**The PRIME MINISTER:** Thank you. There is currently a new version of the claims list being created. A more advanced sheet with more information in it for that reason I am against releasing the old sheet. The old/current sheet as it is outdated. Once the new sheet is completed it will be made publicly available, it will be made publically available after the second reading of this bill, if it passes it will be empty until people register their claims, if this bill fails the old data will be carried over. It would be beneficial for newer players to see which stations are unclaimed. can i table an amendment right now? just changing the short title. from "Gardellia Claim Reporting Act, 2019" to "Gardellia Claim Registry Act, 2019.

**The SPEAKER:** You can notify my once this session is over and i shall table it for next session. Is there a reason it needs to be done now? I'm sure you can change that yourself after this session since it is so minor and you are the proposer of the bill. And it is merely a functional aspect. This bill shall be read a second time on the 11th of may 2019.

Gardellia Claim Registry Bill

A  
**B I L L**  
TO

Implement the Gardellia Claim Registry form to make it easier for the Gardella Abandoned Claims Enforcement Team to track claims.

**B**e it enacted by the President of the Federal Republic of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

**1. Form link**

- a. <https://forms.gle/sfkHH3bHAHyPW4h6A>

**2. Functions of the form**

- a. Register an existing claim.
  - i. All existing Gardella claims must be reported within 2 months of this bill passing.
    1. Multiple public announcements will be made including but not limited to: Discord announcements, mail sendall & website post.
  - ii. If a claim is not registered within the existing claim reporting period it may be regenerated at the discretion and decision of the Gardella Abandoned Claims Enforcement Team.
  - iii. If a player answers no to the “Do you want to keep your claim?” question, their claim will be regenerated no matter if it is deemed abandoned or not.
- b. Register a new claim.
- c. Removal of a claim.
- d. Reporting of leave.
  - i. If you report your leave and it is accepted your claim will be exempt from the Gardellia Abandoned Claims act for the duration of your leave.
- e. Reporting of an abandoned claim.
  - i. A reported abandoned claim will be reviewed by the Gardella Abandoned Claims Enforcement Team and action may be taken at the discretion of the Gardella Abandoned Claims Enforcement Team.

**3. Notification of received submission**

- a. Players will be notified when their submission to the form has been received and processed in batches every week in the #notifications channel.

**4. Punishment for not registering**

- a. If a player does not register their new claim or removal of claim they will receive a 2-week notice to remove or register. If this two week period passes and no action is taken, the claim will be regenerated.

**5. Website alterations**

- a. On the Gardellia page, a new section about abandoned claims will be added.
- b. This will include a summary of the abandoned claims rules.
- c. This will include a link to the Registry form.
- d. The following will be deemed unneeded and will be removed should a monthly executive gazette be implemented.
  - i. Claims currently under risk of being deemed abandoned will be listed here with any applicable information.

**6. Short title**

- a. This bill may be cited as the Gardellia Claim Reporting Act, 2019.

**7. Implementation**

- a. This act will go into effect after it receives approval from the president.

---

## 19203

### Permanent Residency (Referendum) Bill

---

#### Debate:

**The SPEAKER:** Okay we now move to the Permanent Residency (Referendum) Bill

**The PRIME MINISTER:** Thank you Mr Speaker. As a final part of the process to introduce the new Permanent Residency rank, this government believes that the public should have a say in what form they want the new rank to take or ultimately whether they believe it is necessary at all. It is, in this case, my pleasure to present to this house this bill which provides the legal precedent to hold such a referendum.

**The LEADER OF THE OPPOSITION:** Thank you. Yes, the public is welcome to speak out about the introduction of the PR rank. Due to the potential disruption to the status quo, the more feedback we have the better. And of course considering that the bill was passed quite a while ago. The player demographics and security concerns during this interval may have shifted to the point that the PR rank may not hold as much relevancy as before so if anyone wishes to review this, you are welcome to do so. more comments to come later.

**SilverWolv:** Thank you Mr Speaker, in order to ensure communication with the public is clearer, I would like to request the minister responsible to publish in the #pr-consultation channel the full criteria for PR and citizenship for options A & B.

**The SPEAKER:** No further calls? In that case, This bill shall be read a second time on the 11th of May. We now move to questions.

Permanent Residency (Referendum) Bill

A  
**B I L L**  
TO

To make provision for the holding of a referendum in WolvHaven on whether the Permanent Residency Rank should be introduced.

**B**e it enacted by the President of the Federal Republic of WolvHaven, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and Representatives, in this parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, as follows -

**1. Definitions**

- a. “PR Rank” refers to the Permanent Residency Rank.
- b. “PR” refers to a Permanent Resident.

**2. Referendum**

- a. A referendum is to be held on whether the Permanent Residency Act 2018 should be implemented.
  - i. The referendum will not be legally binding.
- b. The date of the referendum will be decided by a committee as per section 4.
- c. The question that is to appear on the ballot papers is “*Should WolvHaven introduce the Permanent Residency Rank and in what form?*”.
  - i. The 3 alternate answers to this question as will appear on the ballot papers are-
    1. “*OPTION A- Introduce the PR Rank whilst changing the criteria to allow for inactive citizens to be demoted to the PR rank, increase the maximum number of warnings for a PR to become Citizen to 1 warning and remove the need for an introductory letter / OPTION B- Introduce the PR rank without changes / OPTION C- Reject the PR rank*”.
- d. The referendum will be conducted using the Option Preferential Voting (OPV) method.

**3. Entitlement to vote in the referendum**

- a. Those entitled to vote in the referendum are the persons who, on the date of the referendum, would be entitled to vote as electors at a general election.

**4. Referendum Committee**

- a. A committee will be created to decide the date of the referendum, organise and prepare the referendum.
- b. The committee will consist of all elected Members of Parliament and all Senators.

- c. The committee is to be disbanded immediately after the date of the referendum.
- 5. Extent**
  - a. This act extends to the whole of WolvHaven.
- 6. Commencement**
  - a. All sections come into force on the day this act is passed.
- 7. Short Title**
  - a. This act may be cited as the Permanent Residency (Referendum) Act 2019.

---

## Question without Notice

---

**The SPEAKER:** Are there any questions any member wishes to present to another member? No? In that case we move to motions without notice.

---

## Motion without Notice

---

**The SPEAKER:** Any motions to be proposed? No? In that case we move to adjournment.

---

## Adjournment Debate

---

**The SPEAKER:** would anyone like to take the call? No? In that case we move to adjourning the house. The question is that this house now be adjourned. As many as are of that opinion say aye, of the contrary no.

**Question put to the House and agreed on.**

**Parliament adjourned at 15 13.**